arXiv:hep-th/0103092v1 13 Mar 2001

Multipolar Expansions for the Relativistic N-Body Problem in the Rest-Frame Instant Form.

David Alba
Dipartimento di Fisica
Universita' di Firenze
L.go E.Fermi 2 (Arcetri)
50125 Firenze, Italy
E-mail: ALBA@FI.INFN.IT

and

Luca Lusanna
Sezione INFN di Firenze
L.go E.Fermi 2 (Arcetri)
50125 Firenze, Italy
E-mail: LUSANNA@FI.INFN.IT

and

Massimo Pauri
Dipartimento di Fisica
Universita' di Parma
Parco Area Scienze 7/A
43100 Parma, Italy
E-mail: PAURI@PR.INFN.IT

Abstract

Dixon's multipoles for a system of N relativistic positive-energy scalar particles are evaluated in the rest-frame instant form of dynamics. The Wigner hyperplanes (intrinsic rest frame of the isolated system) turn out to be the natural framework for describing multipole kinematics. In particular, concepts like the *barycentric tensor of inertia* can be defined in special relativity only by means of the quadrupole moments of the isolated system.

February 1, 2008

Typeset using REVTEX

I. INTRODUCTION.

In a recent paper [1] we have given a complete treatment of the kinematics of the relativistic N-body problem in the *rest-frame instant form of dynamics* [2–5]. We have shown in particular how to perform the separation of the center-of-mass motion in the relativistic case. This requires the reformulation of the theory of relativistic isolated systems on arbitrary spacelike hypersurfaces [1]. This description is also able to incorporate the coupling to the gravitational field. This is essentially Dirac's reformulation [6] of classical field theory (suitably extended to particles) on arbitrary spacelike hypersurfaces (*equal time* surfaces) and provides the classical basis of the Tomonaga-Schwinger formulation of quantum field theory. For each isolated system (containing any combination of particles, strings and fields) one gets a reformulation as a parametrized Minkowski theory [2], with the extra bonus of having the theory already predisposed to the coupling to gravity in its ADM formulation, but with the price that the functions $z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ describing the embedding of the spacelike hypersurface in Minkowski spacetime become configuration variables in the action principle. Since the action is invariant under separate τ-reparametrizations and space-diffeomorphisms, there emerge first class constraints ensuring the independence of the description from the choice of the 3+1 splitting. The embedding configuration variables $z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ are the *gauge* variables associated with this kind of general covariance.

Let us remark that, since the intersection of a timelike worldline with a spacelike hypersurface corresponding to a value τ of the time parameter is identified by 3 numbers $\vec{\sigma} = \vec{\eta}(\tau)$ instead of four, in parametrized Minkowski theories each particle must have a well defined sign of the energy: therefore we cannot describe the two topologically disjoint branches of the mass hyperboloid simultaneously like in the standard manifestly Lorentz-covariant theory. As a consequence there are no more mass-shell constraints. Therefore, each particle with a definite sign of the energy is described by the canonical coordinates $\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)$, $\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau)$ with the derived 4-position of the particles given by $x^\mu_i(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))$. The derived 4-momenta $p^\mu_i(\tau)$ are $\vec{\kappa}_i$-dependent solutions of $p_i^2 - \epsilon m_i^2 = 0$ with the chosen sign of the energy.

As said, parametrized Minkowski theories have separate spatial and time reparametrization invariances, which imply the independence of the description from the choice of the 3+1 splitting of Minkowski spacetime. In Minkowski spacetime we can restrict the foliation to have spacelike *hyperplanes* as leaves. Then, for each configuration of the isolated system with timelike 4-momentum, we can restrict ourselves to the special foliation whose leaves are the hyperplanes orthogonal to the conserved system 4-momentum, which have been named *Wigner hyperplanes*. This special foliation is intrinsically determined only by the configuration of the isolated system. In this way [2] it is possible to arrive at the definition of the *Wigner-covariant rest-frame instant form of dynamics* for every isolated system whose configurations have well defined and finite Poincaré generators with timelike total 4-momentum (see Ref. [7] for the traditional forms of dynamics).

This formulation clarifies the non-trivial definition of a relativistic center of mass. As well known, no such definition can enjoy all the properties of the non-relativistic center of mass. See Refs. [8,9,11–13] for a partial bibliography of all the existing attempts.

---

[1] Such hypersurfaces are the leaves of a foliation of Minkowski spacetime (namely one among its 3+1 splittings) and are equivalent to a congruence of timelike accelerated observers.

As shown in Appendix A of Ref. [1] only four first class constraints survive in the rest-frame instant form on Wigner hyperplanes and the original configuration variables $z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, $\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)$ and their conjugate momenta $\rho_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, $\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau)$ are reduced to:

i) a decoupled particle $\tilde{x}^\mu_s(\tau)$, p^μ_s (the only remnant of the spacelike hypersurface) with a positive mass $\epsilon_s = \sqrt{\epsilon p^2_s}$ determined by the first class constraint $\epsilon_s - M_{sys} \approx 0$ [2] and with its rest-frame Lorentz scalar time $T_s = \frac{\tilde{x}_s \cdot p_s}{\epsilon_s}$ put equal to the mathematical time as the gauge fixing $T_s - \tau \approx 0$ to the previous constraint. Here, $\tilde{x}^\mu_s(\tau)$ is a *non-covariant canonical* variable for the *4-center of mass*. After the elimination of T_s and ϵ_s with the previous pair of second class constraints, one remains with a decoupled free point (*point particle clock*) of mass M_{sys} and canonical 3-coordinates $\vec{z}_s = \epsilon_s(\vec{\tilde{x}}_s - \frac{\vec{p}_s}{p^o_s}\tilde{x}^o)$, $\vec{k}_s = \frac{\vec{p}_s}{\epsilon_s}$ [3]. The non-covariant canonical $\tilde{x}^\mu_s(\tau)$ must not be confused with the 4-vector $x^\mu_s(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}=0)$ identifying the origin of the 3-coordinates $\vec{\sigma}$ inside the Wigner hyperplanes. The worldline $x^\mu_s(\tau)$ is arbitrary because it depends on $x^\mu_s(0)$ and its 4-velocity $\dot{x}^\mu_s(\tau)$ depends on the Dirac multipliers associated with the 4 left first class constraints [4], as it will be shown in the next Section. The unit timelike 4-vector $u^\mu(p_s) = p^\mu_s/\epsilon_s$ is orthogonal to the Wigner hyperplanes and describes their orientation in the chosen inertial frame.

ii) the particle canonical variables $\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)$, $\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau)$ [5] inside the Wigner hyperplanes. They are restricted by the three first class constraints (the *rest-frame conditions*) $\vec{\kappa}_+ = \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{\kappa}_i \approx 0$. Since the role of the relativistic decoupled *4-center of mass* is taken by $\tilde{x}^\mu_s(\tau)$ (or,after the gauge fixing $T_s - \tau \approx 0$, by an *external 3-center of mass* $\vec{z}_s$, defined in terms of $\tilde{x}^\mu_s$ and p^μ_s [1]), the rest-frame conditions imply that the *internal* 3-center of mass $\vec{q}_+ = \vec{\sigma}_{com}$ is a *gauge variable*, which can be eliminated with gauge fixings [6].

Therefore, we need a doubling of the concepts:

1) There is the *external* viewpoint of an arbitrary inertial Lorentz observer, who describes the Wigner hyperplanes, leaves of a foliation of Minkowski spacetime, determined by the timelike configurations of the isolated system. A change of inertial observer by means of a Lorentz transformation rotates the Wigner hyperplanes and induces a Wigner rotation of the 3-vectors inside each Wigner hyperplane. Every such hyperplane inherits an induced *internal Euclidean structure* while an *external* realization of the Poincaré group induces the *internal* Euclidean action. As said above, an arbitrary worldline (*centroid*) $x^\mu_s(\tau)$ is chosen

---

[2] M_{sys} being the invariant mass of the isolated system.

[3] $\vec{z}_s/\epsilon_s$ is the classical analogue of the Newton-Wigner 3-position operator, only covariant under the Euclidean subgroup of the Poincaré group.

[4] Therefore this arbitrary worldline may be considered as an arbitrary *centroid* for the isolated system.

[5] They are Wigner spin-1 3-vectors, like the coordinates $\vec{\sigma}$.

[6] For instance $\vec{q}_+ \approx 0$ implies that the *internal 3-center of mass* is put in the origin $x^\mu_s(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}=0)$.

as origin of the *internal* 3-coordinates on the Wigner hyperplanes.

Three *external* concepts of 4-center of mass can be defined by using the *external* realization of the Poincaré algebra (to each one there corresponds a 3-location inside the Wigner hyperplanes):

a) the *external* non-covariant canonical *4-center of mass* (also named *4-center of spin*) $\tilde{x}^\mu_s$ (with 3-location $\vec{\tilde{\sigma}}$),

b) the *external* non-covariant non-canonical Møller *4-center of energy* R^μ_s (with 3-location $\vec{\sigma}_R$),

c) the *external* covariant non-canonical Fokker-Pryce *4-center of inertia* Y^μ_s (with 3-location $\vec{\sigma}_Y$).

Only the canonical non-covariant center of mass $\tilde{x}^\mu_s(\tau)$ is relevant in the Hamiltonian treatment with Dirac constraints, while only the Fokker-Pryce Y^μ_s is a 4-vector by construction. See Ref. [1] for the construction of the *4-centers* starting from the corresponding *3-centers* (3-center of spin [12], 3-center of energy [10], 3-center of inertia [11,12]).

2) There is the *internal* viewpoint inside the Wigner hyperplanes associated to a unfaithful *internal* realization of the Poincaré algebra: the total *internal* 3-momentum of the isolated system vanishes due to the rest-frame conditions. The *internal* energy and angular momentum are the invariant mass M_{sys} and the spin (the angular momentum with respect to $\tilde{x}^\mu_s(\tau)$) of the isolated system respectively. With the *internal* realization of the Poincaré algebra we can define three *internal* 3-centers of mass: the *internal* canonical 3-center of mass, the *internal* Møller 3-center of energy and the *internal* Fokker-Pryce 3-center of inertia. But, due to the rest-frame conditions, *they coincide.* As a natural gauge fixing to the rest-frame conditions we can add the vanishing of the *internal* Lorentz boosts: it is equivalent to locate the internal canonical 3-center of mass $\vec{q}_+$ in $\vec{\sigma} = 0$, i.e. in the origin $x^\mu_s(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau, \vec{0})$. With these gauge fixings and with $T_s - \tau \approx 0$, the worldline $x^\mu_s(\tau)$ becomes uniquely determined except for the arbitrariness in the choice of $x^\mu_s(0)$ [$u^\mu(p_s) = p^\mu_s/\epsilon_s$]

$$x^\mu_s(\tau) = x^\mu_s(0) + u^\mu(p_s) T_s, \tag{1.1}$$

and coincides with the *external* covariant non-canonical Fokker-Pryce 4-center of inertia, $x^\mu_s(\tau) = x^\mu_s(0) + Y^\mu_s$ [1].

This doubling of concepts with the *external* non-covariant canonical 4-center of mass $\tilde{x}^\mu_s(\tau)$ (or with the *external* 3-center of mass $\vec{z}_s$ when $T_s - \tau \approx 0$) and with the *internal* canonical 3-center of mass $\vec{q}_+ \approx 0$ *replaces* the separation of the non-relativistic 3-center of mass due to the Abelian translation symmetry. The non-relativistic conserved 3-momentum is replaced by the *external* $\vec{p}_s = \epsilon_s \vec{k}_s$, while the *internal* 3-momentum vanishes, $\vec{\kappa}_+ \approx 0$, as a definition of rest frame.

In the final gauge we have $\epsilon_s \equiv M_{sys}$, $T_s \equiv \tau$ and the canonical basis $\vec{z}_s$, $\vec{k}_s$, $\vec{\eta}_i$, $\vec{\kappa}_i$ is restricted by the three pairs of second class constraints $\vec{\kappa}_+ = \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{\kappa}_i \approx 0$, $\vec{q}_+ \approx 0$, so that 6N canonical variables describe the N particles like in the non-relativistic case. We still need a canonical transformation $\vec{\eta}_i$, $\vec{\kappa}_i \;\; \mapsto \;\; \vec{q}_+[\approx 0]$, $\vec{\kappa}_+[\approx 0]$, $\vec{\rho}_a$, $\vec{\pi}_a$ $[a = 1, .., N-1]$ identifying a set of relative canonical variables. The final 6N-dimensional canonical basis is $\vec{z}_s$, $\vec{k}_s$, $\vec{\rho}_a$, $\vec{\pi}_a$. To get this result we need a highly non-linear canonical transformation [1], which can be obtained by exploiting the Gartenhaus-Schwartz singular transformation [14].

In the end we obtain the Hamiltonian for relative motions as a sum of N square roots, each one containing a squared mass and a quadratic form in the relative momenta, which goes into the non-relativistic Hamiltonian for relative motions in the limit $c \rightarrow \infty$. This fact has the following implications:

a) if one tries to make the inverse Legendre transformation to find the associated Lagrangian, it turns out that, due to the presence of square roots, the Lagrangian is a hyperelliptic function of $\dot{\vec{\rho}}_a$ already in the free case. A closed form exists only for N=2, $m_1 = m_2 = m$: $L = -\epsilon m \sqrt{4 - \dot{\vec{\rho}}^2}$. This exceptional case already shows that the existence of the limiting velocity c (or in other terms the Lorentz signature of spacetime) forbids a linear relation between the spin (center-of-mass angular momentum) and the angular velocity.

b) the N quadratic forms in the relative momenta appearing in the relative Hamiltonian cannot be simultaneously diagonalized. In any case the Hamiltonian is a sum of square roots, so that concepts like *reduced masses*, *Jacobi normal relative coordinates* and *tensor of inertia* cannot be extended to special relativity. As a consequence, for example, a relativistic static orientation-shape SO(3) principal bundle approach [15][7] can be implemented only by using non-Jacobi relative coordinates.

c) the best way of studying rotational kinematics (the non-Abelian rotational symmetry, associated with the conserved *internal* spin) is based on the *canonical spin bases* with the associated concepts of *spin frames* and *dynamical body frames* introduced in Ref. [1]: they can be build in the same way as in the non-relativistic case [16] starting from the canonical basis $\vec{\rho}_a$, $\vec{\pi}_a$.

Let us clarify this point.

In the non-relativistic N-body problem it is easy to make the separation of the absolute translational motion of the center of mass from the relative motions, due to the Abelian nature of the translation symmetry group. This implies that the associated Noether constants of motion (the conserved total 3-momentum) are in involution, so that the center-of-mass degrees of freedom decouple. Moreover, the fact that the non-relativistic kinetic energy of the relative motions is a quadratic form in the relative velocities allows the introduction of special sets of relative coordinates, the *Jacobi normal relative coordinates*, which diagonalize the quadratic form and correspond to different patterns of clustering of the centers of mass of the particles. Each set of Jacobi normal relative coordinates organizes the N particles into a *hierarchy of clusters*, in which each cluster of two or more particles has a mass given by an eigenvalue (*reduced masses*) of the quadratic form; Jacobi normal coordinates join the centers of mass of pairs of clusters.

However, the non-Abelian nature of the rotation symmetry group, whose associated Noether constants of motion (the conserved total angular momentum) are not in involution, prevents the possibility of a global separation of absolute rotations from the relative motions, so that there is no global definition of absolute *vibrations*. This has the consequence that an *isolated* deformable body can undergo rotations by changing its own shape (see the examples of the *falling cat* and of the *diver*). It was just to deal with these problems that the theory of the orientation-shape SO(3) principal bundle approach [15] has been developed. Its essential content is that any *static* (i.e. velocity-independent) definition of *body frame* for a deformable body must be interpreted as a gauge fixing in the context of a SO(3) *gauge* theory. Both the laboratory and the body frame angular velocities as well as the orientational variables of the static body frame become thereby *unobservable gauge* variables. This approach is associated with a set of *point* canonical transformations, which allow to define the body frame components of relative motions in a velocity-independent way.

---

[7] See Ref. [1] for a review of this approach used in molecular physics for the definition and study of the *vibrations* of molecules.

Since in many physical applications (e.g. nuclear physics, rotating stars,...) angular velocities are viewed as *measurable* quantities, it is desiderable to have an alternative formulation complying with this requirement, possibly generalizable to special relativity. This program has been realized in a previous paper [16], of which Ref. [1] is the relativistic extension. Let us summarize the main points of our formulation.

First of all for $N \geq 3$ we have constructed in Ref. [16] a class of *non-point* canonical transformations, which allows to build the *canonical spin bases* quoted above, which are connected to the patterns of the possible *clusterings of the spins* associated with relative motions. The definition of these *spin bases* is independent of Jacobi normal relative coordinates, just as the patterns of spin clustering are independent of the patterns of center-of-mass Jacobi clustering. We have found two basic frames associated to each spin basis: the *spin frame* and the *dynamical body frame*. Their construction is guaranteed by the fact that, besides the existence on the relative phase space of a Hamiltonian symmetry *left* action of SO(3)[8] [9], it is possible to define as many Hamiltonian non-symmetry *right* actions of SO(3) [10] as the possible patterns of spin clustering. While for N=3 the unique canonical spin basis coincides with a special class of global cross sections of the trivial orientation-shape SO(3) principal bundle, for $N \geq 4$ the existing *spin bases* and *dynamical body frames* turn out to be unrelated to the local cross sections of the *static* non-trivial orientation-shape SO(3) principal bundle, and *evolve* in a dynamical way dictated by the equations of motion. In this new formulation *both* the orientation variables and the angular velocities become *measurable* quantities in each canonical spin basis by construction.

For each N every allowed spin basis provides a physically well-defined separation between *rotational* and *vibrational* degrees of freedom. The non-Abelian nature of the rotational symmetry implies that there is no unique separation of *absolute rotations* and *relative motions*. The unique *body frame* of rigid bodies is replaced by a discrete number of *evolving dynamical body frames* and of *spin canonical bases*, both of which are grounded on patterns of spin couplings, direct analogues of the coupling of quantum angular momenta.

In this paper we complete our study of relativistic kinematics for the N-body system by evaluating its rest-frame Dixon multipoles [17][11]. Let us recall that this method is being used for treating extended systems in astrophysics. The starting point is the definition of the energy momentum tensor of the N positive-energy particles on the Wigner hyperplane. In this way we see that the Wigner hyperplane is the natural framework for reorganizing a lot of kinematics connected with multipoles. Moreover, only in this way, a concept like the *barycentric tensor of inertia* can be introduced in special relativity by means of the quadrupole moments.

---

[8] We adhere to the definitions used in Ref. [15]; in the mathematical literature our *left* action is a *right* action.

[9] Their generators are the center-of-mass angular momentum Noether constants of motion.

[10] Their generators are not constants of motion.

[11] See Ref. [18] for the definition of Dixon's multipoles in general relativity.

A review of the rest-frame instant form of dynamics for N scalar free positive-energy particles is given in Section II.

In Section III we evaluate the energy momentum tensor on the Wigner hyperplanes.

Dixon's multipoles for the system are defined in Section IV. A special study of monopole, dipole and quadrupole moments is given together with the multipolar expansion.

Other properties of Dixon's multipoles are reviewed in Section V.

Some comments on open problems are given in the Conclusions.

The non-relativistic N-particle multipolar expansion is given in Appendix A, while in Appendix B there is a review of symmetric trace-free (STF) tensors.

II. REVIEW OF THE REST-FRAME INSTANT FORM.

Let us review the system of N free scalar positive-energy particles in the framework of parametrized Minkowski theory (see Appendices A and B and Section II of Ref. [1]). As said in the Introduction, each particle is described by a configuration 3-vector $\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)$. The particle worldline is $x^\mu_i(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))$, where $z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ are the embedding configuration variables descibing the spacelike hypersurface Σ_τ [12].

The system is described by the action [2–4]

$$
\begin{aligned}
S &= \int d\tau d^3\sigma\, \mathcal{L}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \int d\tau L(\tau),\\
&\qquad \mathcal{L}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = -\sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) m_i \sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + 2 g_{\tau\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau) + g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)\dot{\eta}^{\check{s}}_i(\tau)},\\
&\qquad L(\tau) = -\sum_{i=1}^N m_i \sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + 2 g_{\tau\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau) + g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)\dot{\eta}^{\check{s}}_i(\tau)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.1}
$$

The action is invariant under separate τ- and $\vec{\sigma}$-reparametrizations.

The canonical momenta are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{\partial z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma})} = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) m_i \\
&\qquad \frac{z_{\tau\mu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + z_{\check{r}\mu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)}{\sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + 2 g_{\tau\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau) + g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)\dot{\eta}^{\check{s}}_i(\tau)}} =\\
&= [(\rho_\nu l^\nu) l_\mu + (\rho_\nu z^\nu_{\check{r}})\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}} z_{\check{s}\mu}](\tau,\vec{\sigma}),\\
\\
\kappa_{i\check{r}}(\tau) &= -\frac{\partial L(\tau)}{\partial \dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)} =\\
&= m_i \frac{g_{\tau\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\dot{\eta}^{\check{s}}_i(\tau)}{\sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + 2 g_{\tau\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau) + g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)\dot{\eta}^{\check{s}}_i(\tau)}},\\
&\qquad \{z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \rho_\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}^{'})\} = -\eta^\mu_\nu \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\sigma}^{'}),\\
&\qquad \{\eta^{\check{r}}_i(\tau), \kappa_{j\check{s}}(\tau)\} = -\delta_{ij}\delta^{\check{r}}_{\check{s}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.2}
$$

The canonical Hamiltonian H_c is zero, but there are the primary first class constraints

---

[12] The foliation is defined by an embedding $R \times \Sigma \rightarrow M^4$, $(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \mapsto z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, with Σ an abstract 3-surface diffeomorphic to R^3. Σ_τ is the Cauchy surface of *equal time*. The metric induced on it is $g_{AB}[z] = z^\mu_A \eta_{\mu\nu} z^\nu_B$ on Σ_τ, a functional of z^μ, and the embedding coordinates $z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ are considered as independent fields. We use the notation $\sigma^A = (\tau, \sigma^{\check{r}})$ of Refs. [2,4]. The $z^\mu_A(\sigma) = \partial z^\mu(\sigma)/\partial\sigma^A$ are flat cotetrad fields on Minkowski spacetime with the $z^\mu_{\check{r}}$'s tangent to Σ_τ. While in Ref. [1] we used the metric convention $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon(+---)$ with $\epsilon = \pm$, in this paper we shall use $\epsilon = 1$ like in Ref. [2].

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \rho_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - l_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\kappa_{i\check{r}}(\tau)\kappa_{i\check{s}}(\tau)} - \\
- z_{\check{r}\mu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\kappa_{i\check{s}} \approx 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}
$$

so that the Dirac Hamiltonian is $H_D = \int d^3\sigma \lambda^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, with the $\lambda^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ Dirac multipliers.

The conserved Poincaré generators are (the suffix "s" denotes the hypersurface Σ_τ)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^\mu_s &= \int d^3\sigma \rho^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \\
J^{\mu\nu}_s &= \int d^3\sigma [z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\rho^\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - z^\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\rho^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}
$$

After the restriction to spacelike *hyperplanes* the Dirac Hamiltonian is reduced to $H_D = \lambda_\mu(\tau)\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\mu(\tau) + \lambda_{\mu\nu}(\tau)\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\mu\nu}(\tau)$ (only ten Dirac multipliers survive) with the remaining ten constraints given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\mu(\tau) &= \int d^3\sigma \mathcal{H}^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = p^\mu_s - l^\mu \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)} + b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau) \sum_{i=1}^N \kappa_{i\check{r}}(\tau) \approx 0, \\
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\mu\nu}(\tau) &= b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau) \int d^3\sigma \sigma^{\check{r}}\, \mathcal{H}^\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau) \int d^3\sigma \sigma^{\check{r}}\, \mathcal{H}^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \\
&= S^{\mu\nu}_s(\tau) - [b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau) b^\nu_\tau - b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau) b^\mu_\tau] \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^{\check{r}}(\tau) \sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)} - \\
&- [b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau) b^\nu_{\check{s}}(\tau) - b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau) b^\mu_{\check{s}}(\tau)] \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^{\check{r}}(\tau) \kappa_i^{\check{s}}(\tau) \approx 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}
$$

Here $S^{\mu\nu}_s$ is the spin part of the Lorentz generators

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{\mu\nu}_s &= x^\mu_s p^\nu_s - x^\nu_s p^\mu_s + S^{\mu\nu}_s, \\
S^{\mu\nu}_s &= b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau) \int d^3\sigma \sigma^{\check{r}} \rho^\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau) \int d^3\sigma \sigma^{\check{r}} \rho^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}
$$

On the *Wigner hyperplane*[13] we have the following constraints and Dirac Hamiltonian [2,4] [14]

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\mu(\tau) = p^\mu_s - u^\mu(p_s) \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2} + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s)) \sum_{i=1}^N \kappa_{ir} =
$$

---

[13] On it we use the notation $A = (\tau, r)$. The 3-vectors $\vec{B} = \{b^r\}$ on the Wigner hyperplanes are Wigner spin-1 3-vectors.

[14] $\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s)) = L^\mu{}_r(p_s, \overset{\circ}{p}_s)$ and $\epsilon^\mu_\tau(u(p_s)) = u^\mu(p_s) = L^\mu{}_o(p_s, \overset{\circ}{p}_s)$ are the columns of the standard Wigner boost for timelike Poincaré orbits. See Appendix B of Ref. [1].

$$= u^\mu(p_s)[\epsilon_s - \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2}] + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s)) \sum_{i=1}^N \kappa_{ir} \approx 0,$$

or

$$\epsilon_s - M_{sys} \approx 0, \qquad M_{sys} = \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2},$$

$$\vec{p}_{sys} = \vec{\kappa}_+ = \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{\kappa}_i \approx 0,$$

$$H_D = \lambda^\mu(\tau)\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\mu(\tau) = \lambda(\tau)[\epsilon_s - M_{sys}] - \vec{\lambda}(\tau) \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{\kappa}_i,$$

$$\lambda(\tau) \approx -\dot{x}_{s\mu}(\tau) u^\mu(p_s),$$
$$\lambda_r(\tau) \approx -\dot{x}_{s\mu}(\tau) \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s)),$$

$$\dot{\tilde{x}}^\mu_s(\tau) = -\lambda(\tau) u^\mu(p_s),$$

$$\dot{x}^\mu_s(\tau) \circeq \{x^\mu_s(\tau), H_D\} = \tilde{\lambda}_\nu(\tau)\{x^\mu_s(\tau), \mathcal{H}^\mu(\tau)\} \approx$$
$$\approx -\tilde{\lambda}^\mu(\tau) = -\lambda(\tau) u^\mu(p_s) + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s)) \lambda_r(\tau),$$

$$\dot{x}^2_s(\tau) = \lambda^2(\tau) - \vec{\lambda}^2(\tau) > 0, \qquad \dot{x}_s \cdot u(p_s) = -\lambda(\tau),$$

$$U^\mu_s(\tau) = \frac{\dot{x}^\mu_s(\tau)}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^2_s(\tau)}} = \frac{-\lambda(\tau) u^\mu(p_s) + \lambda_r(\tau) \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))}{\sqrt{\lambda^2(\tau) - \vec{\lambda}^2(\tau)}},$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad x^\mu_s(\tau) = x^\mu_s(0) - u^\mu(p_s) \int_0^\tau d\tau_1 \lambda(\tau_1) + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s)) \int_0^\tau d\tau_1 \lambda_r(\tau_1). \tag{2.7}$$

While the Dirac multiplier $\lambda(\tau)$ is determined by the gauge fixing $T_s - \tau \approx 0$, the 3 Dirac's multipliers $\vec{\lambda}(\tau)$ describe the classical zitterbewegung of the origin $x^\mu_s(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau, \vec{0})$ of the coordinates on the Wigner hyperplane. Each gauge-fixing $\vec{\chi}(\tau) \approx 0$ to the 3 first class constraints $\vec{\kappa}_+ \approx 0$ (defining the *internal rest-frame*) gives a different determination of the multipliers $\vec{\lambda}(\tau)$. Therefore it identifies a different worldline for the covariant non-canonical origin $x^{(\vec{\chi})\mu}_s(\tau)$ which carries with itself the definition $\vec{\sigma}_{com}$ of the *internal 3-center of mass*, conjugate with $\vec{\kappa}_+$ [15].

Let us remark that the constant $x^\mu_s(0)$ [and, therefore, also $\tilde{x}^\mu_s(0)$] is arbitrary, reflecting the arbitrariness in the absolute location of the origin of the *internal* coordinates on each hyperplane in Minkowski spacetime. The origin $x^\mu_s(\tau)$ corresponds to the unique special relativistic center-of-mass-like worldline of Refs. [19] [16], which unifies previous proposals of Synge, Møller and Pryce quoted in that paper.

---

[15] Obviously each choice $\vec{\chi}(\tau)$ leads to a different set of conjugate canonical relative variables.

The only remaining canonical variables describing the Wigner hyperplane in the final Dirac brackets are the non-covariant canonical coordinate $\tilde{x}^\mu_s(\tau)$ [17] and p^μ_s. The point with coordinates $\tilde{x}^\mu_s(\tau)$ is the decoupled canonical *external 4-center of mass* of the isolated system, which can be interpreted as a decoupled observer with his parametrized clock (*point particle clock*). Its velocity $\dot{\tilde{x}}^\mu_s(\tau)$ is parallel to p^μ_s, so that it has no classical zitterbewegung.

The relation between $x^\mu_s(\tau)$ and $\tilde{x}^\mu_s(\tau)$ ($\vec{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is its 3-location on the Wigner hyperplane) is [2,1]

$$\tilde{x}^\mu_s(\tau) = (\tilde{x}^o_s(\tau); \vec{\tilde{x}}_s(\tau)) = z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\tilde{\sigma}}) = x^\mu_s(\tau) - \frac{1}{\epsilon_s(p^o_s + \epsilon_s)} \Big[p_{s\nu} S^{\nu\mu}_s + \epsilon_s \big(S^{o\mu}_s - S^{o\nu}_s \frac{p_{s\nu} p^\mu_s}{\epsilon^2_s} \big) \Big], \tag{2.8}$$

After the separation of the relativistic canonical non-covariant *external* 4-center of mass $\tilde{x}^\mu_s(\tau)$, on the Wigner hyperplane the N particles are described by the 6N Wigner spin-1 3-vectors $\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)$, $\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau)$ restricted by the rest-frame condition $\vec{\kappa}_+ = \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{\kappa}_i \approx 0$.

Inside the Wigner hyperplane, three degrees of freedom of the isolated system [18] become gauge variables. To eliminate the three first class constraints $\vec{\kappa}_+ \approx 0$ the natural gauge fixing is $\vec{\chi}(\tau) = \vec{\sigma}_{com} = \vec{q}_+ \approx 0$ such that $\vec{q}_+ \approx 0$ implies $\lambda_{\check{r}}(\tau) = 0$: in this way the *internal* 3-center of mass is located at the origin $x^\mu_s(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma} = 0)$ of the Wigner hyperplane.

The various spin tensors and vectors are [2]

$$\begin{aligned}
J^{\mu\nu}_s &= x^\mu_s p^\nu_s - x^\nu_s p^\mu_s + S^{\mu\nu}_s = \tilde{x}^\mu_s p^\nu_s - \tilde{x}^\nu_s p^\mu_s + \tilde{S}^{\mu\nu}_s, \\
S^{\mu\nu}_s &= [u^\mu(p_s)\epsilon^\nu(u(p_s)) - u^\nu(p_s)\epsilon^\mu(u(p_s))]\bar{S}^{\tau r}_s + \epsilon^\mu(u(p_s))\epsilon^\nu(u(p_s))\bar{S}^{rs}_s \equiv \\
&\equiv \Big[\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))u^\nu(p_s) - \epsilon^\nu(u(p_s))u^\mu(p_s)\Big] \sum_{i=1}^N \eta^r_i \sqrt{m^2_i c^2 + \vec{\kappa}^2_i} + \\
&+ \Big[\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\epsilon^\nu_s(u(p_s)) - \epsilon^\nu_r(u(p_s))\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\Big] \sum_{i=1}^N \eta^r_i \kappa^s_i, \\
\bar{S}^{AB}_s &= \epsilon^A_\mu(u(p_s))\epsilon^B_\nu(u(p_s))S^{\mu\nu}_s,
\end{aligned}$$

---

[16] See Refs. [20,21] for the definition of this concept in general relativity. By using the interpretation of Ref. [20], also the special relativistic limit of the general relativistic Dixon centroid of Ref. [18] gives the centroid $x^\mu_s(\tau)$: it coincides with the special relativistic Dixon centroid of Ref. [17] defined by using the conserved energy momentum tensor, as we shall see in Section IV.

[17] It describes a point living on the Wigner hyperplanes and has the covariance of the little group O(3) of timelike Poincaré orbits, like the Newton-Wigner position operator.

[18] As already said, they describe an *internal* center-of-mass 3-variable $\vec{\sigma}_{com}$ defined inside the Wigner hyperplane and conjugate to $\vec{\kappa}_+$; when the $\vec{\sigma}_{com}$ are canonical variables they are denoted $\vec{q}_+$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{S}^{rs}_s &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\eta^r_i \kappa^s_i - \eta^s_i \kappa^r_i), \qquad \bar{S}^{\tau r}_s \equiv - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta^r_i \sqrt{m^2_i c^2 + \vec{\kappa}^2_i},\\
\tilde{S}^{\mu\nu}_s &= S^{\mu\nu}_s + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon p^2_s}(p^o_s + \sqrt{\epsilon p^2_s})} \Big[p_{s\beta}(S^{\beta\mu}_s p^\nu_s - S^{\beta\nu}_s p^\mu_s) + \sqrt{p^2_s}(S^{o\mu}_s p^\nu_s - S^{o\nu}_s p^\mu_s) \Big],\\
\tilde{S}^{ij}_s &= \delta^{ir}\delta^{js}\bar{S}^{rs}_s, \qquad \tilde{S}^{oi}_s = - \frac{\delta^{ir}\bar{S}^{rs}_s\, p^s_s}{p^o_s + \sqrt{\epsilon p^2_s}},\\
\bar{\vec{S}} &\equiv \vec{\bar{S}} = \sum_{i+1}^{N} \vec{\eta}_i \times \vec{\kappa}_i \approx \sum_{i=1}^{N} \vec{\eta}_i \times \vec{\kappa}_i - \vec{\eta}_+ \times \vec{\kappa}_+ = \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \vec{\rho}_a \times \vec{\pi}_a. \qquad (2.9)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us remark that while $L^{\mu\nu}_s = x^\mu_s p^\nu_s - x^\nu_s p^\mu_s$ and $S^{\mu\nu}_s$ are not constants of the motion due to classical zitterbewung, both $\tilde{L}^{\mu\nu}_s = \tilde{x}^\mu_s p^\nu_s - \tilde{x}^\nu_s p^\mu_s$ and $\tilde{S}^{\mu\nu}_s$ are conserved.

The canonical variables $\tilde{x}^\mu_s$, p^μ_s for the *external* 4-center of mass, can be replaced by the canonical pairs [22][19]

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_s &= \frac{p_s \cdot \tilde{x}_s}{\epsilon_s} = \frac{p_s \cdot x_s}{\epsilon_s},\\
\epsilon_s &= \pm \sqrt{\epsilon p^2_s},\\
\vec{z}_s &= \epsilon_s (\vec{\tilde{x}}_s - \frac{\vec{p}_s}{p^o_s} \tilde{x}^o_s),\\
\vec{k}_s &= \frac{\vec{p}_s}{\epsilon_s}, \qquad (2.10)
\end{aligned}
$$

with the inverse transformation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x}^o_s &= \sqrt{1 + \vec{k}^2_s}(T_s + \frac{\vec{k}_s \cdot \vec{z}_s}{\epsilon_s}),\\
\vec{\tilde{x}}_s &= \frac{\vec{z}_s}{\epsilon_s} + (T_s + \frac{\vec{k}_s \cdot \vec{z}_s}{\epsilon_s}) \vec{k}_s,\\
p^o_s &= \epsilon_s \sqrt{1 + \vec{k}^2_s},\\
\vec{p}_s &= \epsilon_s \vec{k}_s. \qquad (2.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

This non-point canonical transformation can be summarized as [$\epsilon_s - M_{sys} \approx 0$, $\vec{\kappa}_+ = \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{\kappa}_i \approx 0$]

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
\tilde{x}^\mu_s & \vec{\eta}_i \\
\hline
p^\mu_s & \vec{\kappa}_i \\
\hline
\end{array}
\qquad \longrightarrow \qquad
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\epsilon_s & \vec{z}_s & \vec{\eta}_i \\
\hline
T_s & \vec{k}_s & \vec{\kappa}_i \\
\hline
\end{array}
\qquad (2.12)
$$

The invariant mass M_{sys} of the system, which is also the *internal* energy of the isolated system, replaces the non-relativistic Hamiltonian H_{rel} for the relative degrees of freedom, after the addition of the gauge-fixing $T_s - \tau \approx 0$ [20]: this reminds of the frozen Hamilton-Jacobi theory, in which the time evolution can be reintroduced by using the energy generator of the Poincaré group as Hamiltonian [21].

---

[19] It makes explicit the interpretation as a *point particle clock*.

After the gauge fixings $T_s - \tau \approx 0$, the final Hamiltonian and the embedding of the Wigner hyperplane into Minkowski spacetime are

$$
\begin{aligned}
&H_D = M_{sys} - \vec{\lambda}(\tau)\cdot\vec{\kappa}_+,\\
&z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = x^\mu_s(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\sigma^r = x^\mu_s(0) + u^\mu(p_s)\tau + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\sigma^r,\\
&\qquad with\\
&\dot{x}^\mu_s(\tau) \overset{\circ}{=} \frac{d\,x^\mu_s(\tau)}{d\tau} + \{x^\mu_s(\tau), H_D\} = u^\mu(p_s) + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\lambda_r(\tau),
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.13}
$$

where $x^\mu_s(0)$ is an arbitrary point.

The particles' worldlines in Minkowski spacetime and the associated momenta are

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^\mu_i(\tau) &= z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) = x^\mu_s(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\eta^r_i(\tau),\\
p^\mu_i(\tau) &= \sqrt{m^2_i + \vec{\kappa}^2_i(\tau)}\,u^\mu(p_s) + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\kappa_{ir}(\tau)\ \Rightarrow \epsilon p^2_i = m^2_i.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.14}
$$

The *external* rest-frame instant form realization of the Poincaré generators [22] with non-fixed invariants $\epsilon p^2_s = \epsilon^2_s \approx M^2_{sys}$, $-\epsilon p^2_s \vec{\bar{S}}^2_s \approx -\epsilon M^2_{sys}\vec{\bar{S}}^2$, is obtained from Eq.(2.9):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&p^\mu_s,\\
&J^{\mu\nu}_s = \tilde{x}^\mu_s p^\nu_s - \tilde{x}^\nu_s p^\mu_s + \tilde{S}^{\mu\nu}_s,\\
&p^o_s = \sqrt{\epsilon^2_s + \vec{p}^2_s} = \epsilon_s\sqrt{1+\vec{k}^2_s} \approx \sqrt{M^2_{sys} + \vec{p}^2_s} = M_{sys}\sqrt{1+\vec{k}^2_s},\\
&\vec{p}_s = \epsilon_s\vec{k}_s \approx M_{sys}\vec{k}_s,\\
&J^{ij}_s = \tilde{x}^i_s p^j_s - \tilde{x}^j_s p^i_s + \delta^{ir}\delta^{js}\sum_{i=1}^N(\eta^r_i\kappa^s_i - \eta^s_i\kappa^r_i) = z^i_s k^j_s - z^j_s k^i_s + \delta^{ir}\delta^{js}\epsilon^{rsu}\bar{S}^u_s,\\
&K^i_s = J^{oi}_s = \tilde{x}^o_s p^i_s - \tilde{x}^i_s\sqrt{\epsilon^2_s + \vec{p}^2_s} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_s + \sqrt{\epsilon^2_s + \vec{p}^2_s}}\delta^{ir}p^s_s\sum_{i=1}^N(\eta^r_i\kappa^s_i - \eta^s_i\kappa^r_i) =\\
&\quad = -\sqrt{1+\vec{k}^2_s}\,z^i_s - \frac{\delta^{ir}k^s_s\epsilon^{rsu}\bar{S}^u_s}{1+\sqrt{1+\vec{k}^2_s}} \approx \tilde{x}^o_s p^i_s - \tilde{x}^i_s\sqrt{M^2_{sys} + \vec{p}^2_s} - \frac{\delta^{ir}p^s_s\epsilon^{rsu}\bar{S}^u_s}{M_{sys} + \sqrt{M^2_{sys} + \vec{p}^2_s}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.15}
$$

---

[20] It implies $\lambda(\tau) = -1$ and identifies the time parameter τ with the Lorentz scalar time of the center of mass in the rest frame, $T_s = p_s \cdot \tilde{x}_s / M_{sys}$; M_{sys} generates the evolution in this time.

[21] See Refs. [23] for a different derivation of this result.

[22] As in every instant form of dynamics, there are four independent Hamiltonians p^o_s and J^{oi}_s, functions of the invariant mass M_{sys}; we give also the expression in the basis T_s, ϵ_s, $\vec{z}_s$, $\vec{k}_s$.

On the other hand the *internal* realization of the Poincaré algebra is built inside the Wigner hyperplane by using the expression of $\bar{S}_s^{AB}$ given by Eq.(2.9) [23]

$$
\begin{aligned}
&M_{sys} = H_M = \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2},\\
&\vec{\kappa}_+ = \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{\kappa}_i \, (\approx 0),\\
&\vec{J} = \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{\eta}_i \times \vec{\kappa}_i, \qquad J^r = \bar{S}^r = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ruv}\bar{S}^{uv} \equiv \bar{S}^r_s,\\
&\vec{K} = -\sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2}\; \vec{\eta}_i = -M_{sys}\vec{R}_+, \qquad K^r = J^{or} = \bar{S}^{\tau r}_s,\\
&\Pi = M^2_{sys} - \vec{\kappa}^2_+ \approx M^2_{sys} > 0,\\
&W^2 = -\epsilon (M^2_{sys} - \vec{\kappa}^2_+)\vec{\bar{S}}^2_s \approx -\epsilon M^2_{sys} \vec{\bar{S}}^2_s.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}
$$

The constraints $\epsilon_s - M_{sys} \approx 0$, $\vec{\kappa}_+ \approx 0$ mean: i) the constraint $\epsilon_s - M_{sys} \approx 0$ is the bridge which connects the *external* and *internal* realizations [24]; ii) the constraints $\vec{\kappa}_+ \approx 0$, together with $\vec{K} \approx 0$ [25], imply a unfaithful *internal* realization, in which the only non-zero generators are the conserved energy and spin of an isolated system.

The determination of $\vec{q}_+$ for the N particle system was done with the group theoretical methods of Ref. [24] in Section III of Ref. [1]. Given a realization of the ten Poincaré generators on the phase space, one can build three 3-position variables in terms of them only. For N free scalar relativistic particles on the Wigner hyperplane with $\vec{p}_{sys} = \vec{\kappa}_+ \approx 0$ and by using the *internal* realization (2.16) they are:
i) a canonical *internal* center of mass (or center of spin) $\vec{q}_+$;
ii) a non-canonical *internal* Møller center of energy $\vec{R}_+$;
iii) a non-canonical *internal* Fokker-Pryce center of inertia $\vec{y}_+$.
It can be shown [1] that, due to $\vec{\kappa}_+ \approx 0$, they *coincide*: $\vec{q}_+ \approx \vec{R}_+ \approx \vec{y}_+$.

Therefore the gauge fixings $\vec{\chi}(\tau) = \vec{q}_+ \approx \vec{R}_+ \approx \vec{y}_+ \approx 0$ imply $\vec{\lambda}(\tau) \approx 0$ and force the three *internal* collective variables to coincide with the origin of the coordinates, which now becomes

$$
x_s^{(\vec{q}_+)\mu}(T_s) = x_s^\mu(0) + u^\mu(p_s)T_s. \tag{2.17}
$$

As shown in Section IV, the addition of the gauge fixings $\vec{\chi}(\tau) = \vec{q}_+ \approx \vec{R}_+ \approx \vec{y}_+ \approx 0$ implies that the Dixon center of mass of an extended object [18] and the Pirani [25] and Tulczyjew

---

[23] This *internal Poincaré algebra realization* should not be confused with the previous *external* one based on $\tilde{S}_s^{\mu\nu}$; Π and W^2 are the two non-fixed invariants of this realization.

[24] The external spin coincides with the internal angular momentum due to Eqs.(A11) of Ref. [1].

[25] As we shall see in the next Section, $\vec{K} \approx 0$ is implied by the natural gauge fixing $\vec{q}_+ \approx 0$.

[26,21,27] centroids [26] all simultaneously coincide with the origin $x^\mu_s(\tau)$.

The *external* realization (2.15) allows to build the analogous *external* 3-variables $\vec{q}_s$, $\vec{R}_s$, $\vec{Y}_s$. It is then shown in Ref. [1] how to build the associated *external* 4-variables and their location on the Wigner hyperplane

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x}^\mu_s &= (\tilde{x}^o_s; \vec{\tilde{x}}_s) = (\tilde{x}^o_s; \vec{q}_s + \frac{\vec{p}_s}{p^o_s}\tilde{x}^o_s) = \\
&= (\tilde{x}^o_s; \frac{\vec{z}_s}{\epsilon_s} + (T_s + \frac{\vec{k}_s \cdot \vec{z}_s}{\epsilon_s})\vec{k}_s) = x^\mu_s + \epsilon^\mu_u(u(p_s))\tilde{\sigma}^u, \\
Y^\mu_s &= (\tilde{x}^o_s; \vec{Y}_s) = \\
&= (\tilde{x}^o_s; \frac{1}{\epsilon_s}[\vec{z}_s + \frac{\vec{\bar{S}}_s \times \vec{p}_s}{\epsilon_s[1 + u^o(p_s)]}] + (T_s + \frac{\vec{k}_s \cdot \vec{z}_s}{\epsilon_s})\vec{k}_s\,) = \\
&= \tilde{x}^\mu_s + \eta^\mu_r \frac{(\vec{\bar{S}}_s \times \vec{p}_s)^r}{\epsilon_s[1 + u^o(p_s)]} = \\
&= x^\mu_s + \epsilon^\mu_u(u(p_s))\sigma^u_Y, \\
R^\mu_s &= (\tilde{x}^o_s; \vec{R}_s) = \\
&= (\tilde{x}^o_s; \frac{1}{\epsilon_s}[\vec{z}_s - \frac{\vec{\bar{S}}_s \times \vec{p}_s}{\epsilon_s u^o(p_s)[1 + u^o(p_s)]}] + (T_s + \frac{\vec{k}_s \cdot \vec{z}_s}{\epsilon_s})\vec{k}_s\,) = \\
&= \tilde{x}^\mu_s - \eta^\mu_r \frac{(\vec{\bar{S}}_s \times \vec{p}_s)^r}{\epsilon_s u^o(p_s)[1 + u^o(p_s)]} = \\
&= x^\mu_s + \epsilon^\mu_u(u(p_s))\sigma^u_R, \\
\\
T_s &= u(p_s) \cdot x_s = u(p_s) \cdot \tilde{x}_s = u(p_s) \cdot Y_s = u(p_s) \cdot R_s, \\
\\
\tilde{\sigma}^r &= \epsilon_{r\mu}(u(p_s))[x^\mu_s - \tilde{x}^\mu_s] = \frac{\epsilon_{r\mu}(u(p_s))[u_\nu(p_s)S^{\nu\mu}_s + S^{o\mu}_s]}{[1 + u^o(p_s)]} = \\
&= -\bar{S}^{\tau r}_s + \frac{\bar{S}^{rs}_s p^s_s}{\epsilon_s[1 + u^o(p_s)]} = \epsilon_s R^r_+ + \frac{\bar{S}^{rs}_s u^s(p_s)}{1 + u^o(p_s)} \approx \\
&\approx \epsilon_s q^r_+ + \frac{\bar{S}^{rs}_s u^s(p_s)}{1 + u^o(p_s)} \approx \frac{\bar{S}^{rs}_s u^s(p_s)}{1 + u^o(p_s)}, \\
\sigma^r_Y &= \epsilon_{r\mu}(u(p_s))[x^\mu_s - Y^\mu_s] = \tilde{\sigma}^r - \epsilon_{ru}(u(p_s))\frac{(\vec{\bar{S}}_s \times \vec{p}_s)^u}{\epsilon_s[1 + u^o(p_s)]} = \\
&= \tilde{\sigma}^r + \frac{\bar{S}^{rs}_s u^s(p_s)}{1 + u^o(p_s)} = \epsilon_s R^r_+ \approx \epsilon_s q^r_+ \approx 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

---

[26] See Ref. [28] for the application of these methods to find the center of mass of a configuration of the Klein-Gordon field after the preliminary work of Ref. [29] on the *center of phase* for a real Klein-Gordon field.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^r_R &= \epsilon_{r\mu}(u(p_s))[x^\mu_s - R^\mu_s] = \tilde{\sigma}^r + \epsilon_{ru}(u(p_s))\frac{(\vec{\bar{S}}_s \times \vec{p}_s)^u}{\epsilon_s u^o(p_s)[1 + u^o(p_s)]} = \\
&= \tilde{\sigma}^r - \frac{\bar{S}^{rs}_s u^s(p_s)}{u^o(p_s)[1 + u^o(p_s)]} = \epsilon_s R^r_+ + \frac{[1 - u^o(p_s)]\bar{S}^{rs}_s u^s(p_s)}{u^o(p_s)[1 + u^o(p_s)]} \approx \\
&\approx \frac{[1 - u^o(p_s)]\bar{S}^{rs}_s u^s(p_s)}{u^o(p_s)[1 + u^o(p_s)]}, \\
&\Rightarrow x^{(\vec{q}_+)\mu}_s(\tau) = Y^\mu_s,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

namely the *external* Fokker-Pryce non-canonical center of inertia coincides with the origin $x^{(\vec{q}_+)\mu}_s(\tau)$ carrying the internal center of mass.

III. THE ENERGY-MOMENTUM TENSOR ON THE WIGNER HYPERPLANE AND DIXON'S RELATIVISTIC MULTIPOLES.

A. The Euler-Lagrange Equations and the Energy-Momentum Tensor of Parametrized Minkowski Theories.

The Euler-Lagrange equations associated with the Lagrangian (2.1) are (the symbol '$\overset{\circ}{=}$' means evaluated on the solutions of the equations of motion)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial z^\mu} - \partial_A \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial z^\mu_A}\Big)(\tau,\vec\sigma) = \eta_{\mu\nu}\partial_A[\sqrt{g}T^{AB}z^\nu_B](\tau,\vec\sigma) \overset{\circ}{=} 0,\\
&\frac{\partial L}{\partial \vec\eta_i} - \partial_\tau \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\vec\eta}_i} =\\
&-[\frac{1}{2}\frac{T^{AB}}{\sqrt{g}}]|_{\vec\sigma=\vec\eta_i}\frac{\partial g_{AB}}{\partial \vec\eta_i} - \partial_\tau \frac{g_{\tau r} + g_{rs}\dot\eta^s_i}{\sqrt{g_{\tau\tau} + 2g_{\tau u}\dot\eta^u_i + g_{uv}\dot\eta^u_i\dot\eta^v_i}}|_{\vec\sigma=\vec\eta_i} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,
\end{aligned}\qquad (3.1)$$

where we have introduced the energy-momentum tensor [here $\dot\eta^A_i(\tau) = (1; \dot{\vec\eta}_i(\tau))$]

$$T^{AB}(\tau,\vec\sigma) = -[\frac{2}{\sqrt{g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{AB}}](\tau,\vec\sigma) = -\sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec\sigma - \vec\eta_i(\tau))\frac{m_i\dot\eta^A_i(\tau)\dot\eta^B_i(\tau)}{\sqrt{g_{\tau\tau} + 2g_{\tau u}\dot\eta^u_i + g_{uv}\dot\eta^u_i\dot\eta^v_i}}(\tau,\vec\sigma). \qquad (3.2)$$

Due to the delta functions the Euler-Lagrange equations for the fields $z^\mu(\tau,\vec\sigma)$ are trivial ($0 \overset{\circ}{=} 0$) everywhere except at the positions of the particles. They may be rewritten in a form valid for every isolated system

$$\partial_A T^{AB} z^\mu_B \overset{\circ}{=} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\partial_A[\sqrt{g}z^\mu_B]T^{AB}. \qquad (3.3)$$

When $\partial_A[\sqrt{g}z^\mu_B] = 0$ as it happens on the Wigner hyperplanes in the gauge $\vec q_+ \approx 0$ and $T_s - \tau \approx 0$, we get the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor T^{AB}, i.e. $\partial_A T^{AB} \overset{\circ}{=} 0$. Otherwise there is a compensation coming from the dynamics of the surface.

On the Wigner hyperplane, where we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x^\mu_i(\tau) &= z^\mu(\tau,\vec\eta_i(\tau)) = x^\mu_s(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\eta^r_i(\tau),\\
&\dot x^\mu_i(\tau) = z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\vec\eta_i(\tau)) + z^\mu_r(\tau,\vec\eta_i(\tau))\dot\eta^r_i(\tau) = \dot x^\mu_s(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\dot\eta^r_i(\tau),\\
&\dot x^2_i(\tau) = g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec\eta_i(\tau)) + 2g_{\tau r}(\tau,\vec\eta_i(\tau))\dot\eta^r_i(\tau) + g_{rs}(\tau,\vec\eta_i(\tau))\dot\eta^r_i(\tau)\dot\eta^s_i(\tau) =\\
&\dot x^2_s(\tau) + 2\dot x_{s\mu}(\tau)\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\dot\eta^r_i(\tau) - \dot{\vec\eta}^2_i(\tau),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p^\mu_i(\tau) &= \sqrt{m^2_i - \gamma^{rs}(\tau,\vec\eta_i(\tau))\kappa_{ir}(\tau)\kappa_{is}(\tau)}\, l^\mu(\tau,\vec\eta_i(\tau)) - \kappa_{ir}(\tau)\gamma^{rs}(\tau,\vec\eta_i(\tau))z^\mu_s(\tau,\vec\eta_i(\tau)) =\\
&= \sqrt{m^2_i + \vec\kappa^2_i(\tau)}\, u^\mu(p_s) + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\kappa^r_i(\tau) \;\; \Rightarrow p^2_i = m^2_i,\\
p^\mu_s &= \int d^3\sigma \rho_\mu(\tau,\vec\sigma) \approx \sum_{i=1}^N p^\mu_i(\tau),
\end{aligned}\qquad (3.4)$$

the energy-momentum tensor $T^{AB}(\tau,\vec\sigma)$ has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= -\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\frac{m_i}{\sqrt{\dot{x}_s^2(\tau)+2\dot{x}_{s\mu}(\tau)\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))-\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i^2(\tau)}},\\
T^{\tau r}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= -\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\frac{m_i\dot{\eta}_i^r(\tau)}{\sqrt{\dot{x}_s^2(\tau)+2\dot{x}_{s\mu}(\tau)\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))-\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i^2(\tau)}},\\
T^{rs}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= -\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\frac{m_i\dot{\eta}_i^r(\tau)\dot{\eta}_i^s(\tau)}{\sqrt{\dot{x}_s^2(\tau)+2\dot{x}_{s\mu}(\tau)\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))-\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i^2(\tau)}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.5}
$$

B. The Energy-Momentum Tensor of the Standard Lorentz-Covariant Theory.

The same form is obtained from the restriction to positive energies [27] of the energy momentum tensor of the standard manifestly Lorentz covariant theory with Lagrangian $S_S=\int d\tau L_S(\tau)=-\sum_{i=1}^N m_i\int d\tau\sqrt{\dot{x}_i^2(\tau)}$. On the Wigner hyperplanes with $T_s-\tau\approx 0$ we will get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\mu\nu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= -\Big(\frac{2}{\sqrt{g}}\frac{\delta S_S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\Big)|_{x=z(\tau,\vec{\sigma})} =\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N m_i\int d\tau_1\frac{\dot{x}_i^\mu(\tau_1)\dot{x}_i^\nu(\tau_1)}{\sqrt{\dot{x}_i^2(\tau_1)}}\delta^4\Big(x_i(\tau_1)-z(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\Big) =\\
&= \epsilon^\mu_A(u(p_s))\epsilon^\nu_B(u(p_s))T^{AB}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.6}
$$

On the other hand, from the restriction of the standard theory we get

1) On arbitrary *spacelike hypersurfaces*

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\mu\nu}(z(\tau,\vec{\sigma})) &= \sum_{i=1}^N m_i\int d\tau_1\frac{\dot{x}_i^\mu(\tau_1)\dot{x}_i^\nu(\tau_1)}{\sqrt{\dot{x}_i^2(\tau_1)}}\delta^4\Big(x_i(\tau_1)-z(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\Big) =\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N m_i\int\frac{d\tau_1}{\sqrt{\dot{x}_i^2(\tau_1)}}\delta^4\Big(z(\tau_1,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau_1))-z(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\Big)\\
&\quad [z^\mu_\tau(\tau_1,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau_1))+z^\mu_r(\tau_1,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau_1))\dot{\eta}_i^r(\tau_1)]\\
&\quad [z^\nu_\tau(\tau_1,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau_1))+z^\nu_r(\tau_1,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau_1))\dot{\eta}_i^r(\tau_1)] =\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N m_i\int\frac{d\tau_1}{\sqrt{\dot{x}_i^2(\tau_1)}}\delta^4\Big(z(\tau_1,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau_1))-z(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\Big)\\
&\quad \Big[z^\mu_\tau(\tau_1,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau_1))\,z^\nu_\tau(\tau_1,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau_1))+\\
&+\Big(z^\mu_\tau(\tau_1,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau_1))z^\nu_r(\tau_1,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau_1))+z^\nu_\tau(\tau_1,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau_1))z^\mu_r(\tau_1,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau_1))\Big)\dot{\eta}_i^r(\tau_1)+
\end{aligned}
$$

---

[27] $p_i^o=m_i\frac{\dot{x}_i^o}{\sqrt{\dot{x}_i^2}}>0$, $\epsilon(\sum_{i=1}^N p_i^\mu)^2=\epsilon(\sum_{i=1}^N m_i\frac{\dot{x}_i^\mu}{\sqrt{\dot{x}_i^2}})^2>0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&+ z^\mu_r(\tau_1, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau_1)) z^\nu_s(\tau_1, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau_1)) \dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau_1) \dot{\eta}^s_i(\tau_1)\Big] = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{m_i}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^2_i(\tau)}} \delta^3\Big(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)\Big) (det\, |z^\mu_A(\tau, \vec{\sigma})|)^{-1} \\
&\quad \Big[z^\mu_\tau(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau_1))\, z^\nu_\tau(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \Big(z^\mu_\tau(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) z^\nu_r(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \\
&+ z^\nu_\tau(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) z^\mu_r(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\Big) \dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau) + z^\mu_r(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) z^\nu_s(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau) \dot{\eta}^s_i(\tau)\Big] = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{m_i}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^2_i(\tau)} \sqrt{g(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}} \delta^3\Big(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)\Big) \\
&\quad \Big[z^\mu_\tau(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau_1))\, z^\nu_\tau(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \Big(z^\mu_\tau(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) z^\nu_r(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \\
&+ z^\nu_\tau(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) z^\mu_r(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\Big) \dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau) + z^\mu_r(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) z^\nu_s(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau) \dot{\eta}^s_i(\tau)\Big], \qquad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

since $det\, |z^\mu_A| = \sqrt{g} = \sqrt{\gamma(g_{\tau\tau} - \gamma^{rs} g_{\tau r} g_{\tau s})}$, $\gamma = |det\, g_{rs}|$.

2) On arbitrary *spacelike hyperplanes*, where it holds [2]

$$z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = x^\mu_s(\tau) + b^\mu_u(\tau) \sigma^u, \qquad x^\mu_i(\tau) = x^\mu_s(\tau) + b^\mu_u(\tau) \eta^u_i(\tau),$$

$$z^\mu_r(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = b^\mu_r(\tau), \qquad z^\mu_\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \dot{x}^\mu_s(\tau) + \dot{b}^\mu_u(\tau) \sigma^u = l^\mu / \sqrt{g^{\tau\tau}} - g_{\tau r} z^\mu_r,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\tau\tau} &= [\dot{x}^\mu_s + \dot{b}^\mu_r \sigma^r]^2, \qquad g_{\tau r} = b_{r\mu} [\dot{x}^\mu_s + \dot{b}^\mu_s \sigma^s], \\
g_{rs} &= -\delta_{rs}, \qquad \gamma^{rs} = -\delta^{rs}, \qquad \gamma = 1, \\
g &= g_{\tau\tau} + \sum_r g^2_{\tau r}, \\
g^{\tau\tau} &= 1/[l_\mu(\dot{x}^\mu_s + \dot{b}^\mu_u \sigma^u)]^2, \qquad g^{\tau r} = g^{\tau\tau} g_{\tau r} = b_{r\mu}(\dot{x}^\mu_s + \dot{b}^\mu_u \sigma^u)/[l_\mu(\dot{x}^\mu_s + \dot{b}^\mu_u \sigma^u)]^2, \\
g^{rs} &= -\delta^{rs} + g^{\tau\tau} g_{\tau r} g_{\tau s} = -\delta^{rs} + b_{r\mu}(\dot{x}^\mu_s + \dot{b}^\mu_u \sigma^u) b_{r\nu}(\dot{x}^\nu_s + \dot{b}^\nu_v \sigma^v)/[l_\mu(\dot{x}^\mu_s + \dot{b}^\mu_u \sigma^u)]^2, \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{\mu\nu}[x^\beta_s(\tau) + b^\beta_r(\tau)\sigma^r] &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{m_i \delta^3\Big(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)\Big)}{\sqrt{g(\tau, \vec{\sigma})} \sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + 2 g_{\tau r}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \dot{\eta}_i(\tau) - \dot{\vec{\eta}}^2_i(\tau)}} \\
&\quad \Big[(\dot{x}^\mu_s(\tau) + \dot{b}^\mu_r(\tau) \eta^r_i(\tau))(\dot{x}^\nu_s(\tau) + \dot{b}^\nu_s(\tau) \eta^s_i(\tau)) + \\
&+ \Big((\dot{x}^\mu_s(\tau) + \dot{b}^\mu_s(\tau) \eta^s_i(\tau)) b^\nu_r(\tau) + (\dot{x}^\nu_s(\tau) + \dot{b}^\nu_s(\tau) \eta^s_i(\tau)) b^\mu_r(\tau)\Big) \dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau) + \\
&+ b^\mu_r(\tau) b^\nu_s(\tau) \dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau) \dot{\eta}^s_i(\tau)\Big]. \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

3) On *Wigner's hyperplanes*, where it holds [2]

$$z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = x^\mu_s(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_u(u(p_s))\sigma^u, \qquad x^\mu_i(\tau) = x^\mu_s(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_u(u(p_s))\eta^u_i(\tau),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
z^\mu_r &= \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s)), \qquad l^\mu = u^\mu(p_s), \qquad z^\mu_\tau = \dot{x}^\mu_s(\tau),\\
g &= [\dot{x}_s(\tau)\cdot u(p_s)]^2, \qquad g_{\tau\tau} = \dot{x}^2_s, \qquad g_{\tau r} = \dot{x}_{s\mu}\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s)), \qquad g_{rs} = -\delta_{rs},\\
g^{\tau\tau} &= 1/[\dot{x}_{s\mu}u^\mu(p_s)]^2, \qquad g^{\tau r} = \dot{x}_{s\mu}\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))/[\dot{x}_{s\mu}u^\mu(p_s)]^2,\\
g^{rs} &= -\delta^{rs} + \dot{x}_{s\mu}\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\dot{x}_{s\nu}\epsilon^\nu_s(u(p_s))/[\dot{x}_{s\mu}u^\mu(p_s)]^2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$ds^2 = \dot{x}^2_s(\tau)d\tau^2 + 2\dot{x}_s(\tau)\cdot\epsilon_r(u(p_s))d\tau d\sigma^r - d\vec{\sigma}^2, \tag{3.10}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{\mu\nu}[x^\beta_s(\tau) + \epsilon^\beta_r(u(p_s))\sigma^r] &= \frac{1}{\dot{x}_s(\tau)\cdot u(p_s)}\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{m_i}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^2_s(\tau) + 2\dot{x}_{s\beta}(\tau)\epsilon^\beta_r(u(p_s))\dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau) - \dot{\vec{\eta}}^2_i(\tau)}}\\
&\delta^3\Big(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)\Big)\Big[\dot{x}^\mu_s(\tau)\dot{x}^\nu_s(\tau) +\\
&+ \Big(\dot{x}^\mu_s(\tau)\epsilon^\nu_r(u(p_s)) + \dot{x}^\nu_s(\tau)\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\Big)\dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau) +\\
&+ \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\epsilon^\nu_s(u(p_s))\dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau)\dot{\eta}^s_i(\tau)\Big] =\\
&= \frac{1}{\dot{x}_s(\tau)\cdot u(p_s)}\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{m_i}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^2_s(\tau) + 2\dot{x}_{s\beta}(\tau)\epsilon^\beta_r(u(p_s))\dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau) - \dot{\vec{\eta}}^2_i(\tau)}}\\
&\Big[\dot{x}^\mu_s(\tau)\dot{x}^\nu_s(\tau)\delta^3\Big(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)\Big) +\\
&+ \Big(\dot{x}^\mu_s(\tau)\epsilon^\nu_r(u(p_s)) + \dot{x}^\nu_s(\tau)\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\Big)\delta^3\Big(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)\Big)\dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau) +\\
&+ \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\epsilon^\nu_s(u(p_s))\delta^3\Big(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)\Big)\dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau)\dot{\eta}^s_i(\tau)\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Since the volume element on the Wigner hyperplane is $u^\mu(p_s)d^3\sigma$, we obtain the following total 4-momentum and total mass of the N free particle system (Eqs.(2.7) are used)

$$\begin{aligned}
P^\mu_T &= \int d^3\sigma T^{\mu\nu}[x^\beta_s(\tau) + \epsilon^\beta_u(u(p_s))\sigma^u]u_\nu(p_s) =\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{m_i}{\sqrt{\lambda^2(\tau) - [\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau) + \vec{\lambda}(\tau)]^2}}\\
&\Big[-\lambda(\tau)u^\mu(p_s) + [\dot{\eta}^r_i(\tau) + \lambda^r(\tau)]\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\Big]\\
&\stackrel{\circ}{=} |_{\lambda(\tau)=-1}\ \sum_{i=1}^N \Big[\sqrt{m^2_i c^2 + \vec{\kappa}^2_i(\tau)}u^\mu(p_s) + \kappa^r_i(\tau)\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\Big] = \sum_{i=1}^N p^\mu_i(\tau) = p^\mu_s,\\
M_{sys} &= P^\mu_T u_\mu(p_s) = -\lambda(\tau)\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{m_i}{\sqrt{\lambda^2(\tau) - [\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau) + \vec{\lambda}(\tau)]^2}}\\
&\stackrel{\circ}{=} |_{\lambda(\tau)=-1}\ \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{m^2_i + \vec{\kappa}^2_i(\tau)},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

which turn out to be in the correct form only if $\lambda(\tau) = -1$. This shows that the agreement with parametrized Minkowski theories on arbitrary spacelike hypersurfaces is obtained only on Wigner hyperplanes in the gauge $T_s - \tau \approx 0$, which indeed implies $\lambda(\tau) = -1$.

C. The Phase-Space Version of the Standard Energy-Momentum Tensor.

The same result may be obtained by first reformulating the standard energy-momentum in phase space and then by imposing the restriction $p^\mu_i(\tau) = \sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{rs}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\kappa_{ir}(\tau)\kappa_{is}(\tau)} l^\mu(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) - \kappa_{ir}(\tau)\gamma^{rs}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) z^\mu_s(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \rightarrow \sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)} u^\mu(p_s) + \kappa_i^r \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))$ [28][the last equality refers to the Wigner hyperplane, see Eq.(2.14)]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\mu\nu}(z(\tau,\vec{\sigma})) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{m_i} \int d\tau_1 \sqrt{\dot{x}_i^2(\tau_1)} p^\mu_i(\tau_1) p^\nu_i(\tau_1) \delta^4(x_i(\tau_1) - z(\tau,\vec{\sigma})) = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\sqrt{\dot{x}_i^2(\tau)}}{m_i \sqrt{g(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}} p^\mu_i(\tau) p^\nu_i(\tau) \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)), \\
&\Downarrow \qquad on\, Wigner's\, hyperplanes \\
T^{\mu\nu}[x^\beta_s(\tau) + \epsilon^\beta_u(u(p_s))\sigma^u] &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\sqrt{\dot{x}_s^2 + 2\dot{x}_{s\beta}\epsilon^\beta_u(u(p_s))\dot{\eta}^u_i - \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i^2}}{m_i \sqrt{\dot{x}_s \cdot u(p_s)}}(\tau) p^\mu_i(\tau) p^\nu_i(\tau) \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \frac{\sqrt{\dot{x}_s^2 + 2\dot{x}_{s\beta}\epsilon^\beta_u(u(p_s))\dot{\eta}^u_i - \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i^2}}{m_i \sqrt{\dot{x}_s \cdot u(p_s)}}(\tau) \\
&\quad \Big[(m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)) u^\mu(p_s) u^\nu(p_s) + \\
&+ k^r_i(\tau) \sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)} \Big(u^\mu(p_s) \epsilon^\nu_r(u(p_s)) + u^\nu(p_s) \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s)) \Big) + \\
&+ \kappa^r_i(\tau) \kappa^s_i(\tau) \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s)) \epsilon^\nu_s(u(p_s)) \Big] = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2(\tau) - [\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau) + \vec{\lambda}(\tau)]^2}}{\sqrt{-\lambda(\tau)}} \\
&\quad \frac{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}}{m_i} \Big[\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)} u^\mu(p_s) u^\nu(p_s) + \\
&+ k^r_i(\tau) \Big(u^\mu(p_s) \epsilon^\nu_r(u(p_s)) + u^\nu(p_s) \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s)) \Big) + \\
&+ \frac{\kappa^r_i(\tau) \kappa^s_i(\tau)}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}} \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s)) \epsilon^\nu_s(u(p_s)) \Big]. \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the total 4-momentum and total mass are

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^\mu_T &= \int d^3\sigma T^{\mu\nu}[x^\beta_s(\tau) + \epsilon^\beta_u(u(p_s))\sigma^u] u_\nu(p_s) = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\dot{x}_s^2 + 2\dot{x}_{s\beta}\epsilon^\beta_u(u(p_s))\dot{\eta}^u_i - \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i^2}{\dot{x}_s \cdot u(p_s)}(\tau) \frac{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}}{m_i}
\end{aligned}
$$

---

[28] In this way we are sure to have imposed the restriction to positive energy particles and to have excluded the other $2^N - 1$ branches of the total mass spectrum.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}u^\mu(p_s)+\kappa_i^r(\tau)\epsilon_r^\mu(u(p_s))] = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2(\tau)-[\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau)+\vec{\lambda}(\tau)]^2}}{\sqrt{-\lambda(\tau)}} \frac{\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}}{m_i} p_i^\mu(\tau), \\
M_{sys} &= P_T^\mu u_\mu(p_s) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2(\tau)-[\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau)+\vec{\lambda}(\tau)]^2}}{-\lambda(\tau)} \frac{\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}}{m_i} \sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.14}
$$

These equations show that the total 4-momentum evaluated from the energy-momentum tensor of the standard theory restricted to positive energy particles is consistent [29] with the description on the Wigner hyperplanes with its gauge freedom $\lambda(\tau)$, $\vec{\lambda}(\tau)$, *only* by working with the Dirac brackets of the gauge $T_s \equiv \tau$, where one has $\lambda(\tau) = -1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_s^\mu(\tau) &= u^\mu(p_s) + \epsilon_r^\mu(u(p_s))\lambda_r(\tau), \\
x_s^\mu(\tau) &= x_s^\mu(0) + \tau u^\mu(p_s) + \epsilon_r^\mu(u(p_s)) \int_0^\tau d\tau_1 \lambda_r(\tau_1),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.15}
$$

because $m_i/\sqrt{1-[\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(\tau)+\vec{\lambda}(\tau)]^2} \overset{\circ}{=} \sqrt{m_i^2c^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}$.

Therefore, for every $\vec{\lambda}(\tau)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\mu\nu}[x_s^\beta(T_s)+\epsilon_u^\beta(u(p_s))\sigma^u] &= \epsilon_A^\mu(u(p_s))\epsilon_B^\nu(u(p_s))T^{AB}(T_s,\vec{\sigma}) = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(T_s))\Big[\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2(T_s)}u^\mu(p_s)u^\nu(p_s) + \\
&+ k_i^r(T_s)\Big(u^\mu(p_s)\epsilon_r^\nu(u(p_s)) + u^\nu(p_s)\epsilon_r^\mu(u(p_s))\Big) + \\
&+ \frac{\kappa_i^r(T_s)\kappa_i^s(T_s)}{\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2(T_s)}}\epsilon_r^\mu(u(p_s))\epsilon_s^\nu(u(p_s))\Big], \\
T^{\tau\tau}(T_s,\vec{\sigma}) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(T_s))\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2(T_s)}), \\
T^{\tau r}(T_s,\vec{\sigma}) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(T_s))\kappa_i^r(T_s), \\
T^{rs}(T_s,\vec{\sigma}) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(T_s))\frac{\kappa_i^r(T_s)\kappa_i^s(T_s)}{\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2(T_s)}},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_T^\mu &= p_s^\mu = Mu^\mu(p_s) + \epsilon_r^\mu(u(p_s))\kappa_+^r \approx Mu^\mu(p_s), \\
M &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{m_i^2c^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2(T_s)},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
T^{\mu\nu}[x_s^\beta(T_s)+\epsilon_u^\beta(u(p_s))\sigma^u] \quad u_\nu(p_s) = \epsilon_A^\mu(u(p_s))T^{A\tau}(T_s,\vec{\sigma}) =
$$

---

[29] Namely we get $P_T^\mu = p_s^\mu$ and $M_{sys} = \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(T_s)) \\
&\quad [\sqrt{m_i^2 c^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(T_s)}\, u^\mu(p_s) + \kappa_i^r(T_s) \epsilon_r^\mu(u(p_s))], \\
T^\mu{}_\mu[x_s^\beta(T_s) + \epsilon_u^\beta(u(p_s))\sigma^u] &= T^A{}_A(T_s, \vec{\sigma}) = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(T_s)) \frac{m_i^2}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(T_s)}}. \qquad (3.16)
\end{aligned}
$$

IV. DIXON'S MULTIPOLES ON THE WIGNER HYPERPLANE.

In this Section we shall define the special relativistic Dixon multipoles on the Wigner hyperplane for the N-body problem[30]. We list the non-relativistic multipoles for N free particles in Appendix A by comparison.

Let us now consider an arbitrary timelike worldline $w^\mu(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\eta}(\tau)) = x_s^{(\vec{q}_+)\mu}(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\eta^r(\tau)$ and let us evaluate the Dixon multipoles [17] [31] on the Wigner hyperplanes in the natural gauge with respect to the given worldline. A generic point will be parametrized as

$$z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = x_s^{(\vec{q}_+)\mu}(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\sigma^r = w^\mu(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))[\sigma^r - \eta^r(\tau)] \overset{def}{=} w^\mu(\tau) + \delta z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \tag{4.1}$$

so that $\delta z_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})u^\mu(p_s) = 0$.

For $\vec{\eta}(\tau) = 0$ we will get the multipoles with respect to the origin $x_s^{(\vec{q}_+)\mu}(\tau)$.

A. Dixon's Multipoles.

Lorentz covariant *Dixon's multipoles* and their Wigner covariant counterparts on the Wigner hyperplanes are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
t_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu\nu}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) &= t_T^{(\mu_1...\mu_n)(\mu\nu)}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) = \\
&= \epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s))...\epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}(u(p_s))\, \epsilon^\mu_A(u(p_s))\epsilon^\nu_B(u(p_s)) q_T^{r_1..r_n AB}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) = \\
&= \int d^3\sigma \delta z^{\mu_1}(T_s,\vec{\sigma})...\delta z^{\mu_n}(T_s,\vec{\sigma}) T^{\mu\nu}[x_s^{(\vec{q}_+)\beta}(T_s) + \epsilon^\beta_u(u(p_s))\sigma^u] = \\
&= \epsilon^\mu_A(u(p_s))\epsilon^\nu_B(u(p_s)) \int d^3\sigma \delta z^{\mu_1}(T_s,\vec{\sigma})....\delta z^{\mu_n}(T_s,\vec{\sigma}) T^{AB}(T_s,\vec{\sigma}) = \\
&= \epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s))...\epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}(u(p_s)) \\
&\quad \Big[u^\mu(p_s)u^\nu(p_s)\sum_{i=1}^N [\eta_i^{r_1}(T_s) - \eta^{r_1}(T_s)]...[\eta_i^{r_n}(T_s) - \eta^{r_n}(T_s)]\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(T_s)} + \\
&+ \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\epsilon^\nu_s(u(p_s)) \\
&\quad \sum_{i=1}^N [\eta_i^{r_1}(T_s) - \eta^{r_1}(T_s)]...[\eta_i^{r_n}(T_s) - \eta^{r_n}(T_s)] \frac{\kappa_i^r(T_s)\kappa_i^s(T_s)}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(T_s)}} + \\
&+ [u^\mu(p_s)\epsilon^\nu_r(u(p_s)) + u^\nu(p_s)\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))]
\end{aligned}$$

---

[30] Previous studies of multipole theories of point particles can be found in Refs. [26,27,30,32,31,33–35].

[31] See this paper for the previous definitions given by Bielecki, Mathisson and Weyssenhof [36,30,37,26].

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}[\eta_i^{r_1}(T_s)-\eta^{r_1}(T_s)]...[\eta_i^{r_n}(T_s)-\eta^{r_n}(T_s)]\kappa_i^r(T_s)\Big],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
q_T^{r_1...r_nAB}(T_s,\vec\eta) &= \delta^A_\tau\delta^B_\tau\sum_{i=1}^{N}[\eta_i^{r_1}(T_s)-\eta^{r_1}(T_s)]...[\eta_i^{r_n}(T_s)-\eta^{r_n}(T_s)]\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec\kappa_i^2(T_s)}+\\
&+\delta^A_u\delta^B_v\sum_{i=1}^{N}[\eta_i^{r_1}(T_s)-\eta^{r_1}(T_s)]...[\eta_i^{r_n}(T_s)-\eta^{r_n}(T_s)]\frac{\kappa_i^u(T_s)\kappa_i^v(T_s)}{\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec\kappa_i^2(T_s)}}+\\
&+(\delta^A_\tau\delta^B_u+\delta^A_u\delta^B_\tau)\sum_{i=1}^{N}[\eta_i^{r_1}(T_s)-\eta^{r_1}(T_s)]...[\eta_i^{r_n}(T_s)-\eta^{r_n}(T_s)]\kappa_i^r(T_s),
\end{aligned}$$

$$u_{\mu_1}(p_s)\quad t_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu\nu}(T_s,\vec\eta)=0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
t_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu}{}_\mu(T_s,\vec\eta) &\overset{def}{=} \epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s))...\epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}(u(p_s))q_T^{r_1...r_nA}{}_A(T_s,\vec\eta)=\\
&=\int d^3\sigma\delta z^{\mu_1}(\tau,\vec\sigma)...\delta z^{\mu_n}(\tau,\vec\sigma)T^\mu{}_\mu[x_s^{(\vec q_+)\mu}(T_s)+\epsilon^\mu_u(u(p_s))\sigma^u]=\\
&=\epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s))...\epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}(u(p_s))\\
&\quad\sum_{i=1}^{N}[\eta_i^{r_1}(T_s)-\eta^{r_1}(T_s)]...[\eta_i^{r_n}(T_s)-\eta^{r_n}(T_s)]\frac{m_i^2}{\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec\kappa_i^2(T_s)}}=
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde t_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n}(T_s,\vec\eta) &= t_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu\nu}(T_s,\vec\eta)u_\mu(p_s)u_\nu(p_s)=\\
&=\epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s))...\epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}(u(p_s))q_T^{r_1...r_n\tau\tau}(T_s,\vec\eta)=\\
&=\epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s))...\epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}(u(p_s))\\
&\quad\sum_{i=1}^{N}[\eta_i^{r_1}(T_s)-\eta^{r_1}(T_s)]...[\eta_i^{r_n}(T_s)-\eta^{r_n}(T_s)]\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec\kappa_i^2(T_s)}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

Related multipoles are

$$\begin{aligned}
p_T^{\mu_1..\mu_n\mu}(T_s,\vec\eta) &= t_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu\nu}(T_s,\vec\eta)u_\nu(p_s)=\\
&=\epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s))...\epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}(u(p_s))\epsilon^\mu_A(u(p_s))q_T^{r_1...r_nA\tau}(T_s,\vec\eta)=\\
&=\epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s))....\epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}(u(p_s))\\
&\quad\sum_{i=1}^{N}[\eta_i^{r_1}(T_s)-\eta^{r_1}(T_s)]...[\eta_i^{r_n}(T_s)-\eta^{r_n}(T_s)]\\
&\quad\Big[\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec\kappa_i^2(\tau)}u^\mu(p_s)+k_i^r(T_s)\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\Big],\\
&\\
&\quad u_{\mu_1}(p_s)p_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu}(T_s,\vec\eta)=0,\\
&\quad p_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu}(T_s,\vec\eta)u_\mu(p_s)=\tilde t_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n}(T_s,\vec\eta),
\end{aligned}$$

$$n=0\qquad\Rightarrow p_T^\mu(T_s,\vec\eta)=\epsilon^\mu_A(u(p_s))q_T^{A\tau}(T_s)=P_T^\mu\approx p_s^\mu.\tag{4.3}$$

The inverse formulas, giving the *multipolar expansion*, are

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\mu\nu}[w^\beta(T_s)+\delta z^\beta(T_s,\vec\sigma)] &= T^{\mu\nu}[x_s^{(\vec q_+)\beta}(T_s)+\epsilon^\beta_r(u(p_s))\sigma^r] =\\
&= \epsilon^\mu_A(u(p_s))\epsilon^\nu_B(u(p_s))T^{AB}(T_s,\vec\sigma) =\\
&= \epsilon^\mu_A(u(p_s))\epsilon^\nu_B(u(p_s))\sum_{n=0}^\infty(-1)^n\,\frac{q_T^{r_1\dots r_nAB}(T_s,\vec\eta)}{n!}\\
&\quad \frac{\partial^n}{\partial\sigma^{r_1}\dots\partial\sigma^{r_n}}\delta^3(\vec\sigma-\vec\eta(T_s)) =\\
&= \sum_{n=0}^\infty(-1)^n\,\frac{t_T^{\mu_1\dots\mu_n\mu\nu}(T_s,\vec\eta)}{n!}\epsilon_{r_1\mu_1}(u(p_s))\dots\epsilon_{r_n\mu_n}(u(p_s))\\
&\quad \frac{\partial^n}{\partial\sigma^{r_1}\dots\partial\sigma^{r_n}}\delta^3(\vec\sigma-\vec\eta(T_s)). \qquad (4.4)
\end{aligned}
$$

The quantities $q_T^{r_1\dots r_n\tau\tau}(T_s,\vec\eta)$, $q_T^{r_1\dots r_nr\tau}(T_s,\vec\eta)=q_T^{r_1\dots r_n\tau r}(T_s,\vec\eta)$, $q_T^{r_1\dots r_nuv}(T_s,\vec\eta)$ are the *mass density, stress tensor and momentum density multipoles* with respect to the worldline $w^\mu(T_s)$ (barycentric for $\vec\eta=0$) respectively.

B. Monopoles.

The *monopoles* correspond to $n=0$ [32] and have the following expression [33] [34]

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_T^{AB}(T_s,\vec\eta) &= \delta^A_\tau\delta^B_\tau M+\delta^A_u\delta^B_v\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{\kappa_i^u\kappa_i^v}{\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec\kappa_i^2}}+(\delta^A_\tau\delta^B_u+\delta^A_u\delta^B_\tau)\kappa^u_+\approx\\
&\to_{\alpha\to\infty}\delta^A_\tau\delta^B_\tau\sqrt{\Pi}+\delta^A_u\delta^B_v\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{\kappa_i^u(\infty)\kappa_i^v(\infty)}{H_i(\infty)} =\\
&= \delta^A_\tau\delta^B_\tau\sum_{i=1}^N\sqrt{m_i^2+N\sum_{de}\gamma_{di}\gamma_{ei}\vec\pi_{qd}\cdot\vec\pi_{qe}}+
\end{aligned}
$$

---

[32] $t_T^{\mu\nu}(T_s,\vec\eta)=\epsilon^\mu_A(u(p_s))\epsilon^\nu_B(u(p_s))q_T^{AB}(T_s,\vec\eta)$, $p_T^\mu(T_s,\vec\eta)=\epsilon^\mu_A(u(p_s))q_T^{A\tau}(T_s,\vec\eta)$, $\tilde t_T(T_s,\vec\eta)=q_T^{\tau\tau}(T_s,\vec\eta)$.

[33] They are $\vec\eta$ independent; see Appendix C of Ref. [1] and Appendix A for the non-relativistic limit.

[34] In this Section we use results from Section V of Ref. [1], where the rest-frame ($\vec\kappa_+=\vec q_+=0$) canonical relative variables with respect to the *internal* 3-center of mass were found by means of a classical Gartenhaus-Schwartz transformation [14]. This transformation is a sequence of canonical transformations depending on a parameter α. The final rest-frame relative variables are obtained in the limit $\alpha\to\infty$. In Eqs.(4.5), (4.9), (4.11), (4.13), (4.14) we use the following symbols from Ref. [1]: $\vec\kappa_i(\infty)=\sqrt{N}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\gamma_{ai}\vec\pi_{qa}$, $\Pi=M^2_{sys}-\vec\kappa_+^2$, $H_i=\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec\kappa_i^2}$, $H_i(\infty)=\sqrt{m_i^2+N\sum_{ab}^{1..N-1}\gamma_{ai}\gamma_{bi}\vec\pi_{qa}\cdot\vec\pi_{qb}}$. Also the non-relativistic limits, $c\to\infty$, are shown.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \quad \delta^A_u \delta^B_v N \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\sum_{ab}^{1..N-1} \gamma_{ai}\gamma_{bi}\vec{\pi}_{qa}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qb}}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + N\sum_{de}\gamma_{di}\gamma_{ei}\vec{\pi}_{qd}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qe}}},\\
q_T^{\tau\tau}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) &\rightarrow_{c\rightarrow\infty} \sum_{i=1}^N m_i c^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{ab}^{1..N-1}\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{N\gamma_{ai}\gamma_{bi}}{m_i}\vec{\pi}_{qa}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qb} + O(1/c) =\\
&= \quad \sum_{i=1}^N m_i c^2 + H_{rel,nr} + O(1/c),\\
q_T^{r\tau}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) &= \quad \kappa^r_+ \approx 0, \quad rest-frame\, condition\, (also\, at\, the\, non-relativistic\, level),\\
q_T^{uv}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) &\rightarrow_{c\rightarrow\infty} \sum_{ab}^{1..N-1}\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{N\gamma_{ai}\gamma_{bi}}{m_i}\pi^u_{qa}\pi^v_{qb} + O(1/c) =\\
&= \quad \sum_{ab}^{1..N-1} k^{-1}_{ab}\pi^u_{qa}\pi^v_{qb} + O(1/c) = \sum_{ab}^{1..N-1} k_{ab}\dot{\rho}^u_a\dot{\rho}^v_b + O(1/c),\\
q^A_{TA}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) &= \quad t^\mu_{T\mu}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{m_i^2}{\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2}}\\
&\rightarrow_{\alpha\rightarrow\infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{m_i^2}{H_i(\infty)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{m_i^2}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + N\sum_{de}\gamma_{di}\gamma_{ei}\vec{\pi}_{qd}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qe}}}\\
&\rightarrow_{c\rightarrow\infty} \sum_{i=1}^N m_i c^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{ab}^{1..N-1}\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{N\gamma_{ai}\gamma_{bi}}{m_i}\vec{\pi}_{qa}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qb} + O(1/c) =\\
&= \quad \sum_{i=1}^N m_i c^2 - H_{rel,nr} + O(1/c).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.5}
$$

where we used Eqs. (5.10), (5.11) of Ref. [1] to obtain their expression in terms of the internal relative variables.

Therefore, in the rest-frame instant form, the *mass monopole* is the invariant mass $M = \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2}$, while the *momentum monopole* vanishes.

C. Dipoles.

The *dipoles* correspond to $n=1$ [35]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_T^{rAB}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) &= \delta^A_\tau\delta^B_\tau M[R^r_+(T_s) - \eta^r(T_s)] + \delta^A_u\delta^B_v\Big[\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\eta^r_i\kappa^u_i\kappa^v_i}{\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2}}(T_s) - \eta^r(T_s)q_T^{uv}(T_s,\vec{\eta})\Big] +\\
&+ (\delta^A_\tau\delta^B_u + \delta^A_u\delta^B_\tau)\Big[\sum_{i=1}^N[\eta^r_i\kappa^u_i](T_s) - \eta^r(T_s)\kappa^u_+\Big],
\end{aligned}
$$

---

[35] $t_T^{\mu_1\mu\nu}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) = \epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s))\epsilon^\mu_A(u(p_s))\epsilon^\nu_B(u(p_s))q_T^{r_1AB}(T_s,\vec{\eta})$, $\tilde{t}_T^{\mu_1}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) = \epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s))q_T^{r_1\tau\tau}(T_s,\vec{\eta})$, $p_T^{\mu_1\mu}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) = \epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s))\epsilon^\mu_A(u(p_s))q_T^{r_1A\tau}(T_s,\vec{\eta})$.

$$q_T^{rA}{}_A(T_s,\vec{\eta}) = \epsilon^{r_1}_{\mu_1}(u(p_s))t_T^{\mu_1\mu}{}_\mu(T_s,\vec{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{[\eta_i^r - \eta^r]m_i^2}{\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2}}(T_s). \qquad (4.6)$$

The vanishing of the *mass dipole* identifies the *internal Møller center of energy* $\vec{R}_+ \approx \vec{q}_+ \approx \vec{y}_+$ and therefore the *rest-frame internal center of mass* $\vec{q}_+$:

$$q_T^{r\tau\tau}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) = \epsilon^{r_1}_{\mu_1}(u(p_s))\tilde{t}_T^{\mu_1}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \vec{\eta}(T_s) = \vec{R}_+[= 0 \;\; for \;\; x_s^\mu = x_s^{(\vec{q}_+)\mu}]. \qquad (4.7)$$

The time derivative of the mass dipole identifies the *center-of-mass momentum-velocity relation* for the system when $\vec{\eta}=0$

$$\frac{dq_T^{r\tau\tau}(T_s,\vec{\eta})}{dT_s} \circeq \kappa_+^r - M\dot{\eta}^r(T_s) \rightarrow_{\vec{\eta}\rightarrow 0} 0. \qquad (4.8)$$

The expression of the dipoles in terms of the internal relative variables when $\vec{\eta} = \vec{R}_+ = \vec{q}_+ = 0$ is obtained by using Eqs. (5.10), (5.11), (5.22), (5.25) of Ref. [1]

$$\begin{aligned}
q_T^{r\tau\tau}(T_s,\vec{R}_+) &= 0,\\
q_T^{ru\tau}(T_s,\vec{R}_+) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^r\kappa_i^u - R_+^r\kappa_+^u = \sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\rho_a^r\pi_a^u + (\eta_+^r - R_+^r)\kappa_+^u\\
&\rightarrow_{\alpha\rightarrow\infty} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\rho_{qa}^r\pi_{qa}^u\\
&\rightarrow_{c\rightarrow\infty} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\rho_a^r\pi_{qa}^u = \sum ab^{1..N-1}k_{ab}\rho_a^r\dot{\rho}_b^u,\\
q_T^{ruv}(T_s,\vec{R}_+) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^r\frac{\kappa_i^u\kappa_i^v}{H_i} - R_+^r\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{\kappa_i^u\kappa_i^v}{H_i} =\\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=1}^N\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\gamma_{ai}\rho_a^r\frac{\kappa_i^u\kappa_i^v}{H_i} + (\eta_+^r - R_+^r)\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{\kappa_i^u\kappa_i^v}{H_i}\\
&\rightarrow_{\alpha\rightarrow\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{ij}^{1..N}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}(\gamma_{ai}-\gamma_{aj})\rho_{qa}^r\frac{H_j(\infty)\kappa_i^u(\infty)\kappa_i^v(\infty)}{\sqrt{\Pi}H_i(\infty)} =\\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\Big(c\sqrt{N}\sum_{ij}^{1..N}(\gamma_{ai}-\gamma_{aj})\frac{\sqrt{m_j^2 + N\sum_{de}\gamma_{dj}\gamma_{ej}\vec{\pi}_{qd}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qe}}}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + N\sum_{de}\gamma_{di}\gamma_{ei}\vec{\pi}_{qd}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qe}}} \times\\
&\qquad \frac{\sum_{bc}^{1..N-1}\gamma_{bi}\gamma_{ci}\pi_{qb}^u\pi_{qc}^v}{\sum_{k=1}^N\sqrt{m_k^2 + N\sum_{de}\gamma_{dk}\gamma_{ek}\vec{\pi}_{qd}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qe}}}\Big)\rho_{qa}^r\\
&\rightarrow_{c\rightarrow\infty} \sum_{ij}^{1..N}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\frac{\gamma_{ai}-\gamma_{aj}}{\sqrt{N}}\rho_a^r\frac{m_jN}{m_im}\sum_{bc}^{1..N-1}\gamma_{bi}\gamma_{ci}\pi_{qb}^u\pi_{qc}^v + O(1/c) =\\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{abc}^{1..N-1}\Big[N\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{\gamma_{ai}\gamma_{bi}\gamma_{ci}}{m_i} - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^N m_j\gamma_{aj}}{m}\Big]\rho_a^r\pi_{qb}^u\pi_{qc}^v + O(1/c),\\
q_T^{rA}{}_A(T_s,\vec{R}_+) &= \sum_{i=1}^N(\eta_i^r - R_+^r)\frac{m_i^2}{H_i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{ij}^{1..N}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}(\gamma_{ai}-\gamma_{aj})\rho_a^r\frac{m_iH_j}{H_iH_M}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rightarrow_{\alpha\rightarrow\infty} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{ij}^{1..N} (\gamma_{ai} - \gamma_{aj}) \frac{\sqrt{m_j^2 + N\sum_{de}\gamma_{dj}\gamma_{ej}\vec{\pi}_{qd}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qe}}}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + N\sum_{de}\gamma_{di}\gamma_{ei}\vec{\pi}_{qd}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qe}}} \times \\
&\qquad \frac{m_i}{\sum_{k=1}^{N}\sqrt{m_k^2 + N\sum_{de}\gamma_{dk}\gamma_{ek}\vec{\pi}_{qd}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qe}}} \Big) \rho_a^r \\
&\rightarrow_{c\rightarrow\infty} \sum_{ij}^{1..N} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \sqrt{N} (\gamma_{ai} - \gamma_{aj}) \rho_a^r \frac{m_j N}{m_i m} \sum_{bc}^{1..N-1} \gamma_{bi}\gamma_{ci} \vec{\pi}_{qb}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qc} + O(1/c) = \\
&= \quad \sqrt{N} \sum_{abc}^{1..N-1} \Big[N \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\gamma_{ai}\gamma_{bi}\gamma_{ci}}{m_i} - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_j \gamma_{aj}}{m} \Big] \rho_a^r \vec{\pi}_{qb}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qc} + O(1/c). \qquad (4.9)
\end{aligned}$$

The antisymmetric part of the related dipole $p_T^{\mu_1\mu}(T_s, \vec{\eta})$ identifies the *spin tensor*. Indeed, the *spin dipole* [36] is

$$\begin{aligned}
S_T^{\mu\nu}(T_s)[\vec{\eta}] &= 2p_T^{[\mu\nu]}(T_s, \vec{\eta}) = \\
&= M[R_+^r(T_s) - \eta^r(T_s)] \Big[\epsilon_r^\mu(u(p_s)) u^\nu(p_s) - \epsilon_r^\nu(u(p_s)) u^\mu(p_s) \Big] + \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} [\eta_i^r(T_s) - \eta^r(T_s)] \kappa_i^s(T_s) \Big[\epsilon_r^\mu(u(p_s)) \epsilon_s^\nu(u(p_s)) - \epsilon_r^\nu(u(p_s)) \epsilon_s^\mu(u(p_s)) \Big], \\
& \Downarrow \\
S_T^{\mu\nu}(T_s)[\vec{\eta} = 0] &= S_s^{\mu\nu} \overset{\circ}{=} \\
&\overset{\circ}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{m_i \eta_i^r(T_s)}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i^2(T_s)}} \Big[\epsilon_r^\mu(u(p_s)) u^\nu(p_s) - \epsilon_r^\nu(u(p_s)) u^\mu(p_s) \Big] + \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{m_i \eta_i^r(T_s) \dot{\eta}_i^s(T_s)}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\vec{\eta}}_i^2(T_s)}} \Big[\epsilon_r^\mu(u(p_s)) \epsilon_s^\nu(u(p_s)) - \epsilon_r^\nu(u(p_s)) \epsilon_s^\mu(u(p_s)) \Big], \\
m_{u(p_s)}^\nu(T_s, \vec{\eta}) &= u_\mu(p_s) S_T^{\mu\nu}(T_s)[\vec{\eta}] = -\epsilon_r^\nu(u(p_s)) [\bar{S}_s^{\tau r} - M\eta^r(T_s)] = \\
&= -\epsilon_r^\nu(u(p_s)) M [R_+^r(T_s) - \eta^r(T_s)] = -\epsilon_r^\nu(u(p_s)) q_T^{r\tau\tau}(T_s, \vec{\eta}), \\
\Rightarrow \quad & u_\mu(p_s) S_T^{\mu\nu}(T_s)[\vec{\eta}] = 0, \qquad \Rightarrow \vec{\eta} = 0. \qquad (4.10)
\end{aligned}$$

This explains why $m_{u(p_s)}^\mu(T_s, \vec{\eta})$ is also called the *mass dipole moment*.

Therefore, $x_s^{(\vec{q}_+)\mu}(T_s)$ is also simultaneously the *Tulczyjew centroid* [26,21,27] [37] and, due to $\dot{x}_s^{(\vec{q}_+)\mu}(T_s) = u^\mu(p_s)$, also the *Pirani centroid* [25] [38]. Usually, in absence of a relation between 4-momentum and 4-velocity they are different centroids [39].

---

[36] See Ref. [17] for the ambigous definitions of p_T^μ, $S_T^{\mu\nu}$ given by Papapetrou, Urich and Papapetrou, and by B.Tulczyjev and W.Tulczyjev [38–40].

[37] Defined by $S_T^{\mu\nu}(T_s)[\vec{\eta}] u_\nu(p_s) = 0$, namely with $S_s^{or} = 0$ in the momentum rest frame.

[38] Defined by $S_T^{\mu\nu}(T_s)[\vec{\eta}] \dot{x}_{s\,\nu} = 0$, namely with $S_s^{or} = 0$ in the instantaneous velocity rest frame.

Let us remark that non-covariant centroids could also be connected with the non-covariant external center of mass $\tilde{x}^\mu_s$ and the non-covariant external Møller center of energy.

D. Quadrupoles.

The *quadrupoles* correspond to $n = 2$ [40]:

$$\begin{aligned}
q_T^{r_1r_2AB}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) &= \delta^A_\tau\delta^B_\tau\sum_{i=1}^N[\eta^{r_1}_i(T_s)-\eta^{r_1}(T_s)][\eta^{r_2}_i(T_s)-\eta^{r_2}(T_s)]\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2(T_s)}+\\
&+\delta^A_u\delta^B_v\sum_{i=1}^N[\eta^{r_1}_i(T_s)-\eta^{r_1}(T_s)][\eta^{r_2}_i(T_s)-\eta^{r_2}(T_s)]\frac{\kappa^u_i\kappa^v_i}{\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2}}(T_s)+\\
&+(\delta^A_\tau\delta^B_u+\delta^A_u\delta^B_\tau)\sum_{i=1}^N[\eta^{r_1}_i(T_s)-\eta^{r_1}(T_s)][\eta^{r_2}_i(T_s)-\eta^{r_2}(T_s)]\kappa^u_i(T_s),\\
q_T^{r_1r_2\tau\tau}(T_s,\vec{R}_+) &= \sum_{i=1}^N(\eta^{r_1}_i-R^{r_1}_+)(\eta^{r_2}_i-R^{r_2}_+)H_i=\\
&=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{ijk}^{1..N}\sum_{ab}^{1..N-1}(\gamma_{ai}-\gamma_{aj})(\gamma_{bi}-\gamma_{bk})\rho^{r_1}_a\rho^{r_2}_b\frac{H_iH_jH_k}{H_M^2},\\
q_T^{r_1r_2u\tau}(T_s,\vec{R}_+) &= \sum_{i=1}^N(\eta^{r_1}_i-R^{r_1}_+)(\eta^{r_2}_i-R^{r_2}_+)\kappa^u_i=\\
&=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{ijk}^{1..N}\sum_{ab}^{1..N-1}(\gamma_{ai}-\gamma_{aj})(\gamma_{bi}-\gamma_{bk})\rho^{r_1}_a\rho^{r_2}_b\frac{\kappa^u_iH_jH_k}{H_M^2},\\
q_T^{r_1r_2uv}(T_s,\vec{R}_+) &= \sum_{i=1}^N(\eta^{r_1}_i-R^{r_1}_+)(\eta^{r_2}_i-R^{r_2}_+)\frac{\kappa^u_i\kappa^v_i}{H_i}=\\
&=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{ijk}^{1..N}\sum_{ab}^{1..N-1}(\gamma_{ai}-\gamma_{aj})(\gamma_{bi}-\gamma_{bk})\rho^{r_1}_a\rho^{r_2}_b\frac{\kappa^u_i\kappa^v_iH_jH_k}{H_iH_M^2}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.11}$$

Dixon's definition of *barycentric tensor of inertia* follows the non-relativistic pattern starting from the *mass quadrupole*

$$q_T^{r_1r_2\tau\tau}(T_s,\vec{\eta})=\sum_{i=1}^N[\eta^{r_1}_i\eta^{r_2}_i\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2}](T_s)+M[\eta^{r_1}\eta^{r_2}-\eta^{r_1}R^{r_2}_+-\eta^{r_2}R^{r_1}_+](T_s),\tag{4.12}$$

---

[39] For instance, the so-called *background Corinaldesi-Papapetrou centroid* [41] is defined by the condition $S^{\mu\nu}_T(T_s)[\vec{\eta}]v_\nu=0$, where v^μ is a given fixed unit 4-vector.

[40] $t_T^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s))\epsilon^{\mu_2}_{r_2}(u(p_s))\epsilon^{\mu}_{A}(u(p_s))\epsilon^{\nu}_{B}(u(p_s))q_T^{r_1r_2AB}$, $t_T^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu}{}_\mu = \epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s))\epsilon^{\mu_2}_{r_2}(u(p_s))\epsilon^{\mu}_{A}(u(p_s))q_T^{r_1r_2A}{}_A$, $\tilde{t}_T^{\mu_1\mu_2} = \epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s))\epsilon^{\mu_2}_{r_2}(u(p_s))q_T^{r_1r_2\tau\tau}$, $p_T^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu} = \epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s))\epsilon^{\mu_2}_{r_2}(u(p_s))\epsilon^{\mu}_{A}(u(p_s))q_T^{r_1r_2A\tau}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
I^{r_1r_2}_{dixon}(T_s) &= \delta^{rs}\sum_u q_T^{uu\tau\tau}(T_s,0) - q_T^{r_1r_2\tau\tau}(T_s,0) = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N [(\delta^{r_1r_2}\vec{\eta}_i^2 - \eta_i^{r_1}\eta_i^{r_2})\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2}](T_s) \\
\rightarrow_{\alpha\rightarrow\infty} & \frac{1}{N}\sum_{ijk}^{1..N}\sum_{ab}^{1..N-1}(\gamma_{ai}-\gamma_{aj})(\gamma_{bi}-\gamma_{bk}) \\
& [\vec{\rho}_{qa}\cdot\vec{\rho}_{qb}\delta^{r_1r_2} - \rho_{qa}^{r_1}\rho_{qb}^{r_2}]\frac{H_i(\infty)H_j(\infty)H_k(\infty)}{\Pi} = \\
&= \sum_{ab}^{1..N-1}\Big(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{ijk}^{1..N}(\gamma_{ai}-\gamma_{aj})(\gamma_{bi}-\gamma_{bk}) \\
& \frac{\sqrt{m_i^2+N\sum_{de}\gamma_{di}\gamma_{ei}\vec{\pi}_{qd}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qe}}}{(\sum_{h=1}^N\sqrt{m_h^2+N\sum_{de}\gamma_{dh}\gamma_{eh}\vec{\pi}_{qd}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qe}})^2} \\
& \sqrt{m_j^2+N\sum_{de}\gamma_{dj}\gamma_{ej}\vec{\pi}_{qd}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qe}}\sqrt{m_k^2+N\sum_{de}\gamma_{dk}\gamma_{ek}\vec{\pi}_{qd}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qe}}\Big) \\
& [\vec{\rho}_{qa}\cdot\vec{\rho}_{qb}\delta^{r_1r_2} - \rho_{qa}^{r_1}\rho_{qb}^{r_2}] \\
\rightarrow_{c\rightarrow\infty} & \sum_{ab}^{1..N-1}\sum_{ijk}^{1..N}\frac{m_im_jm_k}{Nm^2}(\gamma_{ai}-\gamma_{aj})(\gamma_{bi}-\gamma_{bk})\vec{\rho}_{qa}\cdot\vec{\rho}_{qb}\delta^{r_1r_2} - \rho_{qa}^{r_1}\rho_{qb}^{r_2}]\times \\
& \times\Big[1+\frac{1}{c}\Big(\frac{N\sum_{cd}^{1..N-1}\gamma_{ci}\gamma_{di}\vec{\pi}_{qc}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qd}}{2m_i^2}+\frac{N\sum_{cd}^{1..N-1}\gamma_{cj}\gamma_{dj}\vec{\pi}_{qc}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qd}}{2m_j^2}+ \\
+ & \frac{N\sum_{cd}^{1..N-1}\gamma_{ck}\gamma_{dk}\vec{\pi}_{qc}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qd}}{2m_k^2}-\frac{1}{m}\sum_{h=1}^N\frac{N\sum_{cd}^{1..N-1}\gamma_{ch}\gamma_{dh}\vec{\pi}_{qc}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qd}}{m_h}\Big)+O(1/c^2)\Big] = \\
&= \sum_{ab}^{1..N-1}k_{ab}\vec{\rho}_{qa}\cdot\vec{\rho}_{qb}\delta^{r_1r_2} - \rho_{qa}^{r_1}\rho_{qb}^{r_2}] + O(1/c) = \\
&= I^{r_1r_2}[\vec{q}_{nr}] + O(1/c).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

In the non-relativistic limit we recover the tensor of inertia of Eqs.(A11).

On the other hand, Thorne's definition of *barycentric tensor of inertia* [42] is

$$\begin{aligned}
I^{r_1r_2}_{thorne}(T_s) &= \delta^{r_1r_2}\sum_u q_T^{uuA}{}_A(T_s,0) - q_T^{r_1r_2A}{}_A(T_s,0) = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N\frac{m_i^2(\delta^{r_1r_2}\vec{\eta}_i^2-\eta_i^{r_1}\eta_i^{r_2})}{\sqrt{m_i^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2}}(T_s) \\
\rightarrow_{\alpha\rightarrow\infty} & \frac{1}{N}\sum_{ijk}^{1..N}\sum_{ab}^{1..N-1}(\gamma_{ai}-\gamma_{aj})(\gamma_{bi}-\gamma_{bk}) \\
& [\vec{\rho}_{qa}\cdot\vec{\rho}_{qb}\delta^{r_1r_2} - \rho_{qa}^{r_1}\rho_{qb}^{r_2}]\frac{m_i^2H_j(\infty)H_k(\infty)}{H_i(\infty)\Pi} = \\
&= \sum_{ab}^{1..N-1}\Big(\frac{c}{N}\sum_{ijk}^{1..N}(\gamma_{ai}-\gamma_{aj})(\gamma_{bi}-\gamma_{bk})
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{m_i^2 \sqrt{m_j^2 + N \sum_{de} \gamma_{dj}\gamma_{ej}\vec{\pi}_{qd}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qe}} \sqrt{m_k^2 + N \sum_{de} \gamma_{dk}\gamma_{ek}\vec{\pi}_{qd}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qe}}}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + N \sum_{de} \gamma_{di}\gamma_{ei}\vec{\pi}_{qd}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qe}} (\sum_{h=1}^N \sqrt{m_h^2 + N \sum_{de} \gamma_{dh}\gamma_{eh}\vec{\pi}_{qd}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qe}})^2}\Big) \\
& [\vec{\rho}_{qa}\cdot\vec{\rho}_{qb}\delta^{r_1r_2} - \rho^{r_1}_{qa}\rho^{r_2}_{qb}] \\
\rightarrow_{c\rightarrow\infty} & \sum_{ab}^{1..N-1}\sum_{ijk}^{1..N} \frac{m_i m_j m_k}{Nm^2}(\gamma_{ai}-\gamma_{aj})(\gamma_{bi}-\gamma_{bk})\vec{\rho}_{qa}\cdot\vec{\rho}_{qb}\delta^{r_1r_2} - \rho^{r_1}_{qa}\rho^{r_2}_{qb}] \times \\
& \times\Big[1 + \frac{1}{c}\Big(- \frac{N\sum_{cd}^{1..N-1}\gamma_{ci}\gamma_{di}\vec{\pi}_{qc}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qd}}{2m_i^2} + \frac{N\sum_{cd}^{1..N-1}\gamma_{cj}\gamma_{dj}\vec{\pi}_{qc}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qd}}{2m_j^2} + \\
+ \quad & \frac{N\sum_{cd}^{1..N-1}\gamma_{ck}\gamma_{dk}\vec{\pi}_{qc}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qd}}{2m_k^2} - \frac{1}{m}\sum_{h=1}^N \frac{N\sum_{cd}^{1..N-1}\gamma_{ch}\gamma_{dh}\vec{\pi}_{qc}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qd}}{m_h}\Big) + O(1/c^2)\Big] = \\
= \quad & \sum_{ab}^{1..N-1} k_{ab}\vec{\rho}_{qa}\cdot\vec{\rho}_{qb}\delta^{r_1r_2} - \rho^{r_1}_{qa}\rho^{r_2}_{qb}] + O(1/c) = \\
= \quad & I^{r_1r_2}[\vec{q}_{nr}] + O(1/c).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.14}
$$

In this case too we recover the tensor of inertia of Eq.(A11). The Dixon and Thorne barycentric tensors of inertia differ at the post-Newtonian level:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{r_1r_2}_{dixon}(T_s) - I^{r_1r_2}_{thorne}(T_s) = \frac{1}{c}\sum_{ab}^{1..N-1}\sum_{ijk}^{1..N} \frac{m_j m_k}{Nm^2}(\gamma_{ai}-\gamma_{aj})(\gamma_{bi}-\gamma_{bk}) \\
\Big[\vec{\rho}_{qa}\cdot\vec{\rho}_{qb}\delta^{r_1r_2} - \rho^{r_1}_{qa}\rho^{r_2}_{qb}\Big]\frac{N\sum_{cd}^{1..N-1}\gamma_{ci}\gamma_{di}\vec{\pi}_{qc}\cdot\vec{\pi}_{qd}}{m_i} + O(1/c^2).
\end{aligned}
$$

E. The Multipolar Expansion.

In the next Section further types of Dixon's multipoles are analyzed. It turns out that the *multipolar expansion* (4.4) can be rearranged with the help of the fact that Hamilton equations imply $\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} \overset{\circ}{=} 0$, so that from Eqs.(5.8) we get the *multipolar expansion*

$$
T^{\mu\nu}[x_s^{(\vec{q}_+)\beta}(T_s) + \epsilon^\beta_r(u(p_s))\sigma^r] = T^{\mu\nu}[w^\beta(T_s) + \epsilon^\beta_r(u(p_s))(\sigma^r - \eta^r(T_s))] =
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= u^{(\mu}(p_s)\epsilon^{\nu)}_A(u(p_s))[\delta^A_\tau M + \delta^A_u \kappa^u_+]\delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}(T_s)) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}S_T^{\rho(\mu}(T_s)[\vec{\eta}]u^{\nu)}(p_s)\epsilon^r_\rho(u(p_s))\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^r}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}(T_s)) + \\
&+ \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} I_T^{\mu_1..\mu_n\mu\nu}(T_s,\vec{\eta})\epsilon^{r_1}_{\mu_1}(u(p_s))..\epsilon^{r_n}_{\mu_n}(u(p_s))\frac{\partial^n}{\partial\sigma^{r_1}..\partial\sigma^{r_n}}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}(T_s)),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.15}
$$

where for $n \geq 2$ and $\vec{\eta} = 0$ $\quad I_T^{\mu_1..\mu_n\mu\nu}(T_s) = \frac{4(n-1)}{n+1} J_T^{(\mu_1..\mu_{n-1}|\mu|\mu_n)\nu}(T_s)$, with $J_T^{\mu_1..\mu_n\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(T_s)$ being the Dixon 2^{2+n}*-pole inertial moment tensors* given in Eqs.(5.10).

The equations $\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} \overset{\circ}{=} 0$ imply the *Papapetrou-Dixon-Souriau equations of motion* [38,18,43,44] for the total momentum $P^\mu_T(T_s) = \epsilon^\mu_A(u(p_s))q_T^{A\tau}(T_s) \approx p^\mu_s$ and the spin tensor $S_T^{\mu\nu}(T_s)[\vec{\eta} = 0]$ restricted to positive energy particles [see Eqs.(5.4) and (5.7)]

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dP^\mu_T(T_s)}{dT_s} &\overset{\circ}{=} 0,\\ \frac{dS^{\mu\nu}_T(T_s)[\vec{\eta}=0]}{dT_s} &\overset{\circ}{=} 2P^{[\mu}_T(T_s)u^{\nu]}(p_s) = 2\kappa^u_+\epsilon^{[\mu}_u(u(p_s))u^{\nu]}(p_s) \approx 0.\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

V. MORE ON DIXON'S MULTIPOLES.

In this Section we shall consider multipoles with respect to the origin, i.e. with $\vec{\eta} = 0$ [we use the notation $t_T^{\mu_1 ... \mu_n \mu\nu}(T_s, 0) = t_T^{\mu_1 ... \mu_n \mu\nu}(T_s)$]

As shown in Ref. [17], if a field has a compact support W on the Wigner hyperplanes $\Sigma_{W\tau}$ and if $f(x)$ is a C^∞ complex-valued scalar function on Minkowski spacetime with compact support [41], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
< T^{\mu\nu}, f > &= \int d^4x T^{\mu\nu}(x) f(x) = \\
&= \int dT_s \int d^3\sigma f(x_s + \delta x_s) T^{\mu\nu}[x_s(T_s) + \delta x_s(\vec{\sigma})][\phi] = \\
&= \int dT_s \int d^3\sigma \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \tilde{f}(k) e^{-ik\cdot[x_s(T_s) + \delta x_s(\vec{\sigma})]} T^{\mu\nu}[x_s(T_s) + \delta x_s(\vec{\sigma})][\phi] = \\
&= \int dT_s \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \tilde{f}(k) e^{-ik\cdot x_s(T_s)} \int d^3\sigma T^{\mu\nu}[x_s(T_s) + \delta x_s(\vec{\sigma})][\phi] \\
&\quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{n!} [k_\mu \epsilon^\mu_u(u(p_s))\sigma^u]^n = \\
&= \int T_s \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \tilde{f}(k) e^{-ik\cdot x_s(T_s)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{n!} k_{\mu_1} ... k_{\mu_n} t_T^{\mu_1 ... \mu_n \mu\nu}(T_s),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

and, but only for $f(x)$ analytic in W [17] [42], we get

$$\begin{aligned}
< T^{\mu\nu}, f > &= \int dT_s \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} t_T^{\mu_1 ... \mu_n \mu\nu}(T_s) \frac{\partial^n f(x)}{\partial x^{\mu_1} ... \partial x^{\mu_n}}|_{x=x_s(T_s)}, \\
&\Downarrow \\
T^{\mu\nu}(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^{\mu_1} ... \partial x^{\mu_n}} \int dT_s \delta^4(x - x_s(T_s)) t_T^{\mu_1 ... \mu_n \mu\nu}(T_s).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

For a N particle system this equation may be rewritten as Eq.(4.4).

For non-analytic functions $f(x)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
< T^{\mu\nu}, f > &= \int dT_s \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{1}{n!} t_T^{\mu_1 ... \mu_n \mu\nu}(T_s) \frac{\partial^n f(x)}{\partial x^{\mu_1} ... \partial x^{\mu_n}}|_{x=x_s(T_s)} + \\
&+ \int dT_s \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \tilde{f}(k) e^{-ik\cdot x_s(T_s)} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{n!} k_{\mu_1} ... k_{\mu_n} t_T^{\mu_1 ... \mu_n \mu\nu}(T_s),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

and, as shown in Ref. [17], from the knowledge of the moments $t_T^{\mu_1 ... \mu_n \mu}(T_s)$ for all $n > N$ we can get $T^{\mu\nu}(x)$ and, therefore, all the moments with $n \leq N$.

---

[41] So that its Fourier transform $\tilde{f}(k) = \int d^4x f(x) e^{ik\cdot x}$ is a slowly increasing entire analytic function on Minkowski spacetime ($|(x^o + iy^o)^{q_o} ... (x^3 + iy^3)^{q_3} f(x^\mu + iy^\mu)| < C_{q_o ... q_3} e^{a_o|y^o| + ... + a_3|y^3|}$, $a_\mu > 0$, q_μ positive integers for every μ and $C_{q_o ... q_3} > 0$), whose inverse is $f(x) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \tilde{f}(k) e^{-ik\cdot x}$.

[42] See this paper for related results of Mathisson and Tulczyjev [36,30,37,26].

The Hamilton equations imply [43] imply (we omit the dependence on $\vec{\eta} = 0$ of the multipoles)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dp_T^{\mu}(T_s)}{dT_s} &\overset{\circ}{=} 0, \quad for\, n = 0,\\
\frac{dp_T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n \mu}(T_s)}{dT_s} &\overset{\circ}{=} -n u^{(\mu_1}(p_s) p_T^{\mu_2 \dots \mu_n)\mu}(T_s) + n t_T^{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_n)\mu}(T_s), \quad n \geq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

If we define for $n \geq 1$ $[S_T^{\mu\nu} = 2p_T^{[\mu\nu]} = 2c_T^{\mu\nu}]$

$$\begin{aligned}
b_T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n \mu}(T_s) &= p_T^{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_n \mu)}(T_s) =\\
&= \epsilon^{(\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s)) \dots . \epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}(u(p_s)) \epsilon^{\mu)}_A(u(p_s)) q_T^{r_1 .. r_n A\tau}(T_s),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\epsilon^{r_1}_{\mu_1}(u(p_s)) \dots . \epsilon^{r_n}_{\mu_n}(u(p_s)) b_T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n \mu}(T_s) = \frac{1}{n+1} u^{\mu}(p_s) q_T^{r_1 \dots r_n \tau\tau}(T_s) + \epsilon^{\mu}_r(u(p_s)) q_T^{(r_1 \dots r_n r)\tau}(T_s),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c_T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n \mu}(T_s) &= c_T^{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_n)\mu}(T_s) = p_T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n \mu}(T_s) - p_T^{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_n \mu)}(T_s) =\\
&= [\epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s)) \dots \epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n} \epsilon^{\mu}_A(u(p_s)) -\\
&- \epsilon^{(\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s)) \dots \epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}(u(p_s)) \epsilon^{\mu)}_A(u(p_s))] q_T^{r_1 .. r_n A\tau}(T_s),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_T^{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_n \mu)}(T_s) = 0,\\
\epsilon^{r_1}_{\mu_1}(u(p_s)) \dots . \epsilon^{r_n}_{\mu_n}(u(p_s)) c_T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n \mu}(T_s) &= \frac{n}{n+1} u^{\mu}(p_s) q_T^{r_1 \dots r_n \tau\tau}(T_s) +\\
&+ \epsilon^{\mu}_r(u(p_s))[q_T^{r_1 \dots r_n r\tau}(T_s) - q_T^{(r_1 \dots r_n r)\tau}(T_s)],
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

and then for $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
d_T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n \mu\nu}(T_s) &= d_T^{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_n)(\mu\nu)}(T_s) = t_T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n \mu\nu}(T_s) -\\
&- \frac{n+1}{n}[t_T^{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_n \mu)\nu}(T_s) + t_T^{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_n \nu)\mu}(T_s)] +\\
&+ \frac{n+2}{n} t_T^{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_n \mu\nu)}(T_s) =\\
&= \Big[\epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1} \dots \epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n} \epsilon^{\mu}_A \epsilon^{\nu}_B - \frac{n+1}{n}\Big(\epsilon^{(\mu_1}_{r_1} \dots \epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n} \epsilon^{\mu)}_A \epsilon^{\nu}_B +\\
&+ \epsilon^{(\mu_1}_{r_1} \dots \epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n} \epsilon^{\nu)}_B \epsilon^{\mu}_A\Big) + \frac{n+2}{n} \epsilon^{(\mu_1}_{r_1} .. \epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n} \epsilon^{\mu}_A \epsilon^{\nu)}_B\Big](u(p_s))\\
&\quad q_T^{r_1 .. r_n AB}(T_s),\\
&\\
&\quad d_T^{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_n \mu)\nu}(T_s) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

---

[43] In Ref. [17] this is a consequence of $\partial_{\mu} T^{\mu\nu} \overset{\circ}{=} 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon^{r_1}_{\mu_1}(u(p_s))....\epsilon^{r_n}_{\mu_n}(u(p_s))d_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu\nu}(T_s) &= \frac{n-1}{n+1}u^\mu(p_s)u^\nu(p_s)q_T^{r_1...r_n\tau\tau}(T_s) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{n}[u^\mu(p_s)\epsilon^\nu_r(u(p_s)) + u^\nu(p_s)\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))] \\ &\quad [(n-1)q_T^{r_1...r_n r\tau}(T_s) + q_T^{(r_1...r_n r)\tau}(T_s)] + \\ &+ \epsilon^\mu_{s_1}(u(p_s))\epsilon^\nu_{s_2}(u(p_s))[q_T^{r_1...r_n s_1 s_2}(T_s) - \\ &- \frac{n+1}{n}(q_T^{(r_1...r_n s_1)s_2}(T_s) + q_T^{(r_1...r_n s_2)s_1}(T_s)) + \\ &+ q_T^{(r_1...r_n s_1 s_2)}(T_s)]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Eqs.(5.4) may be rewritten in the form

1) $n = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} t_T^{\mu\nu}(T_s) = t_T^{(\mu\nu)}(T_s) &\overset{\circ}{=} p_T^\mu(T_s)u^\nu(p_s) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dT_s}(S_T^{\mu\nu}(T_s) + 2b_T^{\mu\nu}(T_s)), \\ &\Downarrow \\ t_T^{\mu\nu}(T_s) &\overset{\circ}{=} p_T^{(\mu}(T_s)u^{\nu)}(p_s) + \frac{d}{dT_s}b_T^{\mu\nu}(T_s) = Mu^\mu(p_s)u^\nu(p_s) + \\ &+ \kappa^r_+[u^{(\mu}(p_s)\epsilon^{\nu)}_r(u(p_s)) + \epsilon^{(\mu}_r(u(p_s))u^{\nu)}(p_s)] + \\ &\epsilon^{(\mu}_r(u(p_s))\epsilon^{\nu)}_s(u(p_s))\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\kappa_i^u\kappa_i^v}{\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2}}, \\ \frac{d}{dT_s}S_T^{\mu\nu}(T_s) &\overset{\circ}{=} 2p_T^{[\mu}(T_s)u^{\nu]}(p_s) = 2\kappa^r_+\epsilon^{[\mu}_r(u(p_s))u^{\nu]}(p_s) \approx 0, \end{aligned}$$

2) $n = 2$ $[identity\ t_T^{\rho\mu\nu} = t_T^{(\rho\mu)\nu} + t_T^{(\rho\nu)\mu} + t_T^{(\mu\nu)\rho}]$

$$\begin{aligned} 2t_T^{(\rho\mu)\nu}(T_s) &\overset{\circ}{=} 2u^{(\rho}(p_s)b_T^{\mu)\nu}(T_s) + u^{(\rho}(p_s)S_T^{\mu)\nu}(T_s) + \frac{d}{dT_s}(b_T^{\rho\mu\nu}(T_s) + c_T^{\rho\mu\nu}(T_s)), \\ &\Downarrow \\ t_T^{\rho\mu\nu}(T_s) &\overset{\circ}{=} u^\rho(p_s)b_T^{\mu\nu}(T_s) + S_T^{\rho(\mu}(T_s)u^{\nu)}(p_s) + \frac{d}{dT_s}(\frac{1}{2}b_T^{\rho\mu\nu}(T_s) - c_T^{\rho\mu\nu}(T_s)), \end{aligned}$$

3) $n \geq 3$

$$\begin{aligned} t_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu\nu}(T_s) &\overset{\circ}{=} d_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu\nu}(T_s) + u^{(\mu_1}(p_s)b_T^{\mu_2...\mu_n)\mu\nu}(T_s) + 2u^{(\mu_1}(p_s)c_T^{\mu_2...\mu_n)(\mu\nu)}(T_s) + \\ &= \frac{2}{n}c_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n(\mu}(T_s)u^{\nu)}(p_s) + \frac{d}{dT_s}[\frac{1}{n+1}b_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu\nu}(T_s) + \frac{2}{n}c_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n(\mu\nu)}(T_s)], \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

This allows to rewrite $< T^{\mu\nu}, f >$ in the following form [17]

$$\begin{aligned} < T^{\mu\nu}, f > &= \int dT_s \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\tilde{f}(k)e^{-ik\cdot x_s(T_s)}\Big[u^{(\mu}(p_s)p_T^{\nu)}(T_s) - ik_\rho S_T^{\rho(\mu}(T_s)u^{\nu)}(p_s) + \\ &+ \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{(-i)^n}{n!}k_{\rho_1}...k_{\rho_n}I_T^{\rho_1...\rho_n\mu\nu}(T_s)\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
I_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu\nu}(T_s) &= I_T^{(\mu_1...\mu_n)(\mu\nu)}(T_s) = d_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu\nu}(T_s) - \\
&- \frac{2}{n-1} u^{(\mu_1}(p_s) c_T^{\mu_2...\mu_n)(\mu\nu)}(T_s) + \\
&+ \frac{2}{n} c_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n(\mu}(T_s) u^{\nu)}(p_s) = \\
&= \Big[\epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}...\epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}\epsilon^{\mu}_{A}\epsilon^{\nu}_{B} - \frac{n+1}{n}\Big(\epsilon^{(\mu_1}_{r_1}...\epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}\epsilon^{\mu)}_{A}\epsilon^{\nu}_{B} + \\
&+ \epsilon^{(\mu_1}_{r_1}...\epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}\epsilon^{\nu)}_{B}\epsilon^{\mu}_{A}\Big) + \frac{n+2}{n}\epsilon^{(\mu_1}_{r_1}...\epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}\epsilon^{\mu}_{A}\epsilon^{\nu)}_{B}\Big](u(p_s)) \\
&\quad q_T^{r_1..r_nAB}(T_s) - \\
&- \Big[\frac{2}{n-1} u^{(\mu_1}(p_s)\Big(\epsilon^{\mu_2}_{r_1}...\epsilon^{\mu_n)}_{r_{n-1}}\epsilon^{(\mu}_{r_n}\epsilon^{\nu)}_{A} - \epsilon^{(\mu_2}_{r_1}...\epsilon^{\mu_n)}_{r_{n-1}}\epsilon^{(\mu}_{r_n}\epsilon^{\nu))}_{A}\Big) - \\
&- \frac{2}{n}\Big(\epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}...\epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}\epsilon^{(\mu}_{A} - \epsilon^{(\mu_1}_{r_1}...\epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}\epsilon^{(\mu)}_{A} u^{\nu)}(p_s)\Big](u(p_s)) \\
&\quad q_T^{r_1..r_nA\tau}(T_s),
\end{aligned}$$

$$I_T^{(\mu_1...\mu_n\mu)\nu}(T_s) = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon^{r_1}_{\mu_1}(u(p_s))....\epsilon^{r_n}_{\mu_n}(u(p_s)) I_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu\nu}(T_s) &= \frac{n+3}{n+1} u^\mu(p_s) u^\nu(p_s) q_T^{r_1...r_n\tau\tau}(T_s) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{n}[u^\mu(p_s)\epsilon^\nu_r(u(p_s)) + u^\nu(p_s)\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))] q_T^{r_1...r_nr\tau}(T_s) + \\
&+ \epsilon^\mu_{s_1}(u(p_s))\epsilon^\nu_{s_2}(u(p_s))[q_T^{r_1...r_ns_1s_2}(T_s) - \\
&- \frac{n+1}{n}(q_T^{(r_1...r_ns_1)s_2}(T_s) + q_T^{(r_1...r_ns_2)s_1}(T_s)) + \\
&+ q_T^{(r_1...r_ns_1s_2)}(T_s)].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

For a N particle system Eq.(5.8) implies Eq.(4.15).

Finally, a set of multipoles equivalent to the $I_T^{\mu_1..\mu_n\mu\nu}$ is [44]

$$for \quad n \geq 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(T_s) &= J_T^{(\mu_1...\mu_n)[\mu\nu][\rho\sigma]}(T_s) = I_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n[\mu[\rho\nu]\sigma]}(T_s) = \\
&= t_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n[\mu[\rho\nu]\sigma]}(T_s) - \\
&- \frac{1}{n+1}\Big[u^{[\mu}(p_s) p_T^{\nu]\mu_1...\mu_n[\rho\sigma]}(T_s) + \\
&+ u^{[\rho}(p_s) p_T^{\sigma]\mu_1...\mu_n[\mu\nu]}(T_s)\Big] = \\
&= \Big[\epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}..\epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}\epsilon^{[\mu}_{r}\epsilon^{[\rho}_{s}\epsilon^{\nu]}_{A}\epsilon^{\sigma]}_{B}\Big](u(p_s)) q_T^{r_1..r_nAB}(T_s) -
\end{aligned}$$

---

[44] $A^{[\mu[\rho\nu]\sigma]} \overset{def}{=} \frac{1}{4}(A^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - A^{\nu\rho\mu\sigma} - A^{\mu\sigma\nu\rho} + A^{\nu\sigma\mu\rho})$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \frac{1}{n+1}\Big[u^{[\mu}(p_s)\epsilon_r^{\nu]}(u(p_s))\epsilon_s^{[\rho}(u(p_s))\epsilon_A^{\sigma]}(u(p_s)) + \\
&+ u^{[\rho}(p_s)\epsilon_r^{\sigma]}(u(p_s))\epsilon_s^{[\mu}(u(p_s))\epsilon_A^{\nu]}(u(p_s))\Big] \\
&\quad \epsilon^{\mu_1}_{r_1}(u(p_s))...\epsilon^{\mu_n}_{r_n}(u(p_s))q_T^{rr_1..r_nsA\tau}(T_s),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
(n+4)(3n+5) \qquad linearly\, independent\, components,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\mu_1}(p_s)J_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(T_s) &= J_T^{\mu_1...\mu_{n-1}(\mu_n\mu\nu)\rho\sigma}(T_s) = 0, \qquad for\, n \geq 1, \\
I_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu\nu}(T_s) &= \frac{4(n-1)}{n+1} J_T^{(\mu_1...\mu_{n-1}|\mu|\mu_n)\nu}(T_s), \qquad for\, n \geq 2, \\
\epsilon^{r_1}_{\mu_1}(u(p_s))....\epsilon^{r_n}_{\mu_n}(u(p_s))J_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(T_s) &= \Big[\epsilon_r^{[\mu}\epsilon_s^{[\rho}\epsilon_A^{\nu]}\epsilon_B^{\sigma]}\Big](u(p_s))q_T^{r_1..r_nAB}(T_s) - \\
&- \frac{1}{n+1}\Big[u^{[\mu}(p_s)\epsilon_r^{\nu]}(u(p_s))\epsilon_s^{[\rho}(u(p_s))\epsilon_A^{\sigma]}(u(p_s)) + \\
&+ u^{[\rho}(p_s)\epsilon_r^{\sigma]}(u(p_s))\epsilon_s^{[\mu}(u(p_s))\epsilon_A^{\nu]}(u(p_s))\Big] q_T^{rr_1..r_nsA\tau}(T_s).
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.10}
$$

The $J_T^{\mu_1..\mu_n\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ are the Dixon 2^{n+2}*-pole inertial moment tensors* of the extended system: they (or equivalently the $I_T^{\mu_1...\mu_n\mu\nu}$'s) determine its energy-momentum tensor together with the *monopole* P_T^μ and the *spin dipole* $S_T^{\mu\nu}$. The equations $\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} \circeq 0$ are satisfied due to the equations of motion (5.7) for P_T^μ and $S_T^{\mu\nu}$ [45] without any need of the equations of motion for the $J_T^{\mu_1..\mu_n\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$. When all the multipoles $J_T^{\mu_1..\mu_n\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ are zero (or negligible) one speaks of a *pole-dipole* system.

On the Wigner hyperplane the content of these 2^{n+2}*-pole inertial moment tensors* is replaced by the *Euclidean Cartesian tensors* $q_T^{r_1...r_n\tau\tau}$, $q_T^{r_1...r_nr\tau}$, $q_T^{r_1...r_nrs}$. As shown in Appendix B we can decompose these Cartesian tensors in their irreducible STF (symmetric trace-free) parts (the *STF tensors*).

The *multipolar expansion* (4.15) may be rewritten as

$$
T^{\mu\nu}[x_s^{(\vec{q}_+)\beta}(T_s) + \epsilon^\beta_r(u(p_s))\sigma^r] = T^{\mu\nu}[w^\beta(T_s) + \epsilon^\beta_r(u(p_s))(\sigma^r - \eta^r(T_s))] =
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= u^{(\mu}(p_s)\epsilon_A^{\nu)}(u(p_s))[\delta^A_\tau M + \delta^A_u \kappa^u_+]\delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}(T_s)) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}S_T^{\rho(\mu}(T_s)[\vec{\eta}]u^{\nu)}(p_s)\epsilon^r_\rho(u(p_s))\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^r}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}(T_s)) + \\
&+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n}{n!}\Big[\frac{n+3}{n+1}u^\mu(p_s)u^\nu(p_s)q_T^{r_1...r_n\tau\tau}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{n}[u^\mu(p_s)\epsilon^\nu_r(u(p_s)) + u^\nu(p_s)\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))]q_T^{r_1...r_nr\tau}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) +
\end{aligned}
$$

---

[45] The so called *Papapetrou-Dixon-Souriau equations* given in Eq.(4.16).

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \epsilon^{\mu}_{s_1}(u(p_s))\epsilon^{\nu}_{s_2}(u(p_s))[q_T^{r_1\dots r_n s_1 s_2}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) - \\
&- \frac{n+1}{n}(q_T^{(r_1\dots r_n s_1)s_2}(T_s,\vec{\eta}) + q_T^{(r_1\dots r_n s_2)s_1}(T_s,\vec{\eta})) + q_T^{(r_1\dots r_n s_1 s_2)}(T_s,\vec{\eta})]\Big] \\
&\quad \frac{\partial^n}{\partial\sigma^{r_1}..\partial\sigma^{r_n}}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}(T_s)). \qquad (5.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

VI. CONCLUSIONS.

In this paper we have completed our study of the relativistic kinematics of the system of N scalar positive-energy particles in the rest-frame instant form of dynamics on Wigner hyperplanes orthogonal to the system total 4-momentum initiated in Ref. [1].

We have evaluated the energy momentum tensor of the system on the Wigner hyperplane and then determined Dixon's multipoles for the N-body problem with respect to the *internal* 3-center of mass located at the origin of the Wigner hyperplane [46]. In the rest-frame instant form of dynamics these multipoles are *Cartesian (Wigner-covariant) Euclidean tensors.* While the study of the *monopole* and *dipole* moments in the rest frame gives informations on the mass, the spin and the *internal* 3-center of mass, the *quadrupole* moment give the only (even if not unique) way to introduce the concept of *barycentric tensor of inertia* for extended systems in special relativity.

Finally, let us observe that, by exploiting the canonical spin bases of Refs. [16,1], after the elimination of the internal 3-center of mass ($\vec{q}_+ = \vec{\kappa}_+ = 0$) the Cartesian multipoles $q_T^{r_1 \dots r_n AB}$ can be expressed in terms of 6 *orientational* variables (the *spin vector* and the three *Euler angles* identifying the dynamical body frame) and of $6N - 6$ (*rotational scalar*) *shape* variables.

---

[46] So that the origin is also the Fokker-Pryce center of inertia and Pirani and Tulczyjew centroids of the system.

APPENDIX A: NON-RELATIVISTIC MULTIPOLAR EXPANSIONS FOR N FREE PARTICLES.

In the review paper in Ref. [18] there is a study of the Newtonian multipolar expansions for a continuum isentropic distribution of matter characterized by a mass density $\rho(t,\vec{\sigma})$, a velocity field $U^r(t,\vec{\sigma})$ [47] and a stress tensor $\sigma^{rs}(t,\vec{\sigma})$. If the system is isolated, the only dynanical equations are the mass conservation and the continuum equations of motion respectively

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial\rho(t,\vec{\sigma})}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial\rho(t,\vec{\sigma})U^r(t,\vec{\sigma})}{\partial\sigma^r}=0,\\ &\frac{\partial\rho(t,\vec{\sigma})U^r(t,\vec{\sigma})}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial[\rho U^rU^s-\sigma^{rs}](t,\vec{\sigma})}{\partial\sigma^s}\overset{\circ}{=}0.\end{aligned}\qquad\text{(A1)}$$

We can adapt this description to an isolated system of N particles in the following way. The mass density

$$\rho(t,\vec{\sigma})=\sum_{i=1}^N m_i\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(t)),\qquad\text{(A2)}$$

satisfies

$$\frac{\partial\rho(t,\vec{\sigma})}{\partial t}=-\sum_{i=1}^N m_i\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(t)\cdot\vec{\partial}_{\vec{\eta}_i}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(t))\overset{def}{=}\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^r}[\rho U^r](t,\vec{\sigma}),\qquad\text{(A3)}$$

while the momentum density [48]

$$\rho(t,\vec{\sigma})U^r(t,\vec{\sigma})=\sum_{i=1}^N m_i\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(t)\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(t)),\qquad\text{(A4)}$$

The associated constant of motion is the total mass $m=\sum_{i=1}^N$.

If we define a function $\zeta(\vec{\sigma},\vec{\eta}_i)$ concentrated in the N points $\vec{\eta}_i$, i=1,..,N, such that $\zeta(\vec{\sigma},\vec{\eta}_i)=0$ for $\vec{\sigma}\neq\vec{\eta}_i$ and $\zeta(\vec{\eta}_i,\vec{\eta}_j)=\delta_{ij}$ [49], then the velocity field associated to N particles becomes

$$\vec{U}(t,\vec{\sigma})=\sum_{i=1}^N\dot{\vec{\eta}}_i(t)\zeta(\vec{\sigma},\vec{\eta}_i(t)).\qquad\text{(A5)}$$

The continuum equations of motion are replaced by

---

[47] $\rho(t,\vec{\sigma})\vec{U}(t,\vec{\sigma})$ is the momentum density.

[48] This can be taken as the definitory equation for the velocity field, even if strictly speaking we do not need it in what follows.

[49] It is a limiting concept deriving from the characteristic function of a manifold.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho(t,\vec{\sigma})U^r(t,\vec{\sigma})] \overset{\circ}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^s}\sum_{i=1}^N m_i \dot{\eta}_i^r(t)\dot{\eta}_i^s(t)\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \ddot{\eta}_i^r(t) =$$
$$\overset{def}{=} \frac{\partial[\rho U^r U^s - \sigma^{rs}](t,\vec{\sigma})}{\partial \sigma^s}. \tag{A6}$$

For a system of free particles we have $\ddot{\vec{\eta}}_i(t) \overset{\circ}{=} 0$ so that $\sigma^{rs}(t,\vec{\sigma}) = 0$. If there are interparticle interactions, they will determine the effective stress tensor.

Let us consider an arbitrary point $\vec{\eta}(t)$. The *multipole moments* of the mass density ρ and momentum density $\rho\vec{U}$ and of the stress-like density $\rho U^r U^s$ with respect to the point $\vec{\eta}(t)$ are defined by setting $(N \geq 0)$

$$\begin{aligned}
m^{r_1\dots r_n}[\vec{\eta}(t)] &= \int d^3\sigma [\sigma^{r_1} - \eta^{r_1}(t)]\dots[\sigma^{r_n} - \eta^{r_n}(t)]\rho(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N m_i [\eta_i^{r_1}(\tau) - \eta^{r_1}(t)]\dots[\eta_i^{r_n}(t) - \eta^{r_n}(t)], \\
& \quad n = 0 \qquad m[\vec{\eta}(t)] = m = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i, \\
p^{r_1\dots r_n r}[\vec{\eta}(t)] &= \int d^3\sigma [\sigma^{r_1} - \eta^{r_1}(t)]\dots[\sigma^{r_n} - \eta^{r_n}(t)]\rho(t,\vec{\sigma})U^r(t,\vec{\sigma}) = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \dot{\eta}_i^r(t)[\eta_i^{r_1}(t) - \eta^{r_1}(t)]\dots[\eta_i^{r_n}(t) - \eta^{r_n}(t)], \\
& \quad n = 0 \qquad p^r[\vec{\eta}(t)] = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \dot{\eta}_i(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \kappa_i^r = \kappa_+^r \approx 0, \\
p^{r_1\dots r_n rs}[\vec{\eta}(t)] &= \int d^3\sigma [\sigma^{r_1} - \eta^{r_1}(t)]\dots[\sigma^{r_n} - \eta^{r_n}(t)]\rho(t,\vec{\sigma})U^r(t,\vec{\sigma})U^s(t,\vec{\sigma}) = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \dot{\eta}_i^r(t)\dot{\eta}_i^s(t)[\eta_i^{r_1}(t) - \eta^{r_1}(t)]\dots[\eta_i^{r_n}(t) - \eta^{r_n}(t)].
\end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

The *mass monopole* is the conserved mass, while the *momentum monopole* is the total 3-momentum, which vanishes in the rest frame.

The point $\vec{\eta}(t)$ is the *center of mass* if the *mass dipole* vanishes

$$m^r[\vec{\eta}(t)] = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i[\eta_i^r(t) - \eta^r(t)] = 0 \Rightarrow \vec{\eta}(t) = \vec{q}_{nr}. \tag{A8}$$

The time derivative of the mass dipole is

$$\frac{dm^r[\vec{\eta}(t)]}{dt} = p^r[\vec{\eta}(t)] - m\dot{\eta}^r(t) = \kappa_+^r - m\dot{\eta}^r(t). \tag{A9}$$

When $\vec{\eta}(t) = \vec{q}_{nr}$, from the vanishing of this time derivative we get the *momentum-velocity relation for the center of mass*

$$p^r[\vec{q}_{nr}] = \kappa_+^r = m\dot{q}_+^r \quad [\approx 0\, in\, the\, rest\, frame]. \tag{A10}$$

The *mass quadrupole* is

$$m^{rs}[\vec{\eta}(t)] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i \eta_i^r(t)\eta_i^s(t) - m\eta^r(t)\eta^s(t) - \Big(\eta^r(t) m^s[\vec{\eta}(t)] + \eta^s(t) m^r[\vec{\eta}(t)]\Big), \qquad \text{(A11)}$$

so that the *barycentric mass quadrupole and tensor of inertia* are respectively

$$\begin{aligned} m^{rs}[\vec{q}_{nr}] &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i \eta_i^r(t)\eta_i^s(t) - m q_{nr}^r q_{nr}^s, \\ I^{rs}[\vec{q}_{nr}] &= \delta^{rs}\sum_u m^{uu}[\vec{q}_{nr}] - m^{rs}[\vec{q}_{nr}] = \\ &= \sum_{i=1} m_i[\delta^{rs}\vec{\eta}_i^2(t) - \eta_i^r(t)\eta_i^s(t)] - m[\delta^{rs}\vec{q}_{nr}^2 - q_{nr}^r q_{nr}^s] = \\ &= \sum_{a,b}^{1...N-1} k_{ab}(\vec{\rho}_a\cdot\vec{\rho}_b\delta^{rs} - \rho_a^r\rho_b^s), \\ \Rightarrow \qquad m^{rs}[\vec{q}_{nr}] &= \delta^{rs}\sum_{a,b=1}^{N-1} k_{ab}\,\vec{\rho}_a\cdot\vec{\rho}_b - I^{rs}[\vec{q}_{nr}]. \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(A12)}$$

The antisymmetric part of the barycentric momentum dipole gives rise to the *spin vector* in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} p^{rs}[\vec{q}_{nr}] &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i \eta_i^r(t)\dot{\eta}_i^s(t) - q_{nr}^r p^s[\vec{q}_{nr}] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i^r(t)\kappa_i^s(t) - q_+^r\kappa_+^s, \\ S^u &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{urs} p^{rs}[\vec{q}_{nr}] = \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} (\vec{\rho}_a \times \vec{\pi}_{qa})^u. \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(A13)}$$

The *multipolar expansions* of the mass and momentum densities around the point $\vec{\eta}(t)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t,\vec{\sigma}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{r_1....r_n}[\vec{\eta}]}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial\sigma^{r_1}...\partial\sigma^{r_n}}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}(t)), \\ \rho(t,\vec{\sigma})U^r(t,\vec{\sigma}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{r_1....r_n r}[\vec{\eta}]}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial\sigma^{r_1}...\partial\sigma^{r_n}}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}(t)). \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(A14)}$$

For the *barycentric multipolar expansions* we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t,\vec{\sigma}) &= m\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{q}_{nr}) - \frac{1}{2}(I^{rs}[\vec{q}_{nr}] - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{rs}\sum_u I^{uu}[\vec{q}_{nr}])\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\sigma^r\partial\sigma^s}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{q}_{nr}) + \\ &+ \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{m^{r_1....r_n}[\vec{q}_{nr}]}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial\sigma^{r_1}...\partial\sigma^{r_n}}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{q}_{nr}), \\ \rho(t,\vec{\sigma})U^r(t,\vec{\sigma}) &= \kappa_+^r\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{q}_{nr}) + \Big[\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{rsu}S^u + p^{(sr)}[\vec{q}_{nr}]\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^s}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{q}_{nr}) + \\ &+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{p^{r_1....r_n r}[\vec{q}_{nr}]}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial\sigma^{r_1}...\partial\sigma^{r_n}}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{q}_{nr}). \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(A15)}$$

APPENDIX B: SYMMETRIC TRACE-FREE TENSORS.

In the applications to gravitational radiation [45,46,42,47] one does not use *Cartesian tensors* but *irreducible symmetric trace-free Cartesian tensors (STF tensors)*. While a *Cartesian multipole tensor of rank l* (like the rest-frame Dixon multipoles) on R^3 has 3^l components, of which in general $\frac{1}{2}(l+1)(l+2)$ are independent, a *spherical multipole moment of order l* has only $2l+1$ independent components. Even if spherical multipole moments are preferred in calculations of molecular interactions, spherical harmonics have various disadvantages in numerical calculations: for analytical and numerical calculations the Cartesian moments are often more convenient (see for instance Ref. [48] for the case of the electrostatic potential). Therefore one prefers to use the irreducible Cartesian STF tensors [49,50] (with $2l+1$ independent components if of rank l), which are obtained by using *Cartesian spherical (or solid) harmonic tensors* in place of spherical harmonics.

Given an Euclidean tensor $A_{k_1...k_I}$ on R^3, one defines the completely symmetrized tensor $S_{k_1..k_I} \equiv A_{(k_1..k_I)} = \frac{1}{I!}\sum_\pi A_{k_{\pi(1)}...k_{\pi(I)}}$. Then, the associated STF tensor is obtained by removing all traces ($[I/2]$ = largest integer $\leq I/2$):

$$A^{(STF)}_{k_1...k_I} = \sum_{n=0}^{[I/2]} a_n\, \delta_{(k_1k_2}...\delta_{k_{2n-1}k_{2n}} S_{k_{2n+1}...k_I)i_1i_1...j_nj_n},$$

$$a_n \equiv (-1)^n \frac{l!(2l-2n-1)!!}{(l-2n)!(2l-1)!!(2n)!!}. \tag{B1}$$

For instance $(T_{abc})^{STF} \equiv T_{(abc)} - \frac{1}{5}\Big[\delta_{ab}T_{(iic)} + \delta_{ac}T_{(ibi)} + \delta_{bc}T_{(aii)}\Big]$.

REFERENCES

[1] D.Alba, L.Lusanna and M.Pauri, “Centers of Mass and Rotational Kinematics for the Relativistic N-Body Problem in the Rest-Frame Instant Form”, Firenze Univ. preprint 2001 (hep-th/0102087).

[2] L.Lusanna, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A4** (1997) 645.

[3] D.Alba and L.Lusanna, Int.J.Mod.Phys **A13**, 2791 (1998) (hep-th/9705155).

[4] H.Crater and L.Lusanna, “The Rest-Frame Darwin Potential from the Lienard-Wiechert Solution in the Radiation Gauge”, to appear in Ann.Phys. (NY) (hep-th/0001046).

[5] L.Lusanna, “Towards a Unified Description of the Four Interactions in Terms of Dirac-Bergmann Observables”, invited contribution to the book of the Indian National Science Academy for the International Mathematics Year 2000 AD (hep-th/9907081). “Tetrad Gravity and Dirac’s Observables”, talk given at the Conf. “Constraint Dynamics and Quantum Gravity 99”, Villasimius 1999 (gr-qc/9912091).“The Rest-Frame Instant Form of Dynamics and Dirac’s Observables”, talk given at the Int.Workshop“Physical Variables in Gauge Theories”, Dubna 1999.

[6] P.A.M.Dirac, Can.J.Math. **2**, 129 (1950); ”Lectures on Quantum Mechanics”, Belfer Graduate School of Science, Monographs Series (Yeshiva University, New York, N.Y., 1964).

[7] P.A.M.Dirac, Rev.Mod.Phys. **21**, 392 (1949).

[8] M.Pauri, “Invariant Localization and Mass-Spin Relations in the Hamiltonian Formulation of Classical Relativistic Dynamics”, Parma Univ. preprint IFPR-T-019 (1971) (unpublished); talk at the Int. Colloquium “Group Theoretical Methods in Physics”, Cocoyoc, Mexico, 1980, Lecture Notes in Physics n.135, ed.K.B.Wolf (Springer, Berlin, 1980).

[9] T.D.Newton and E.P.Wigner, Rev.Mod.Phys. **21**, 400 (1949). G.Lugarini and M.Pauri, Nuovo Cimento **47**, 299 (1967).

[10] C.Møller, Ann.Inst.H.Poincaré **11**, 251 (1949); “The Theory of Relativity” (Oxford Univ.Press, Oxford, 1957).

[11] A.D.Fokker, “Relativiteitstheorie” (P.Noordhoff, Groningen, 1929), p.171.

[12] M.H.L.Pryce, Proc.Roy.Soc.(London) **195A**, 6 (1948).

[13] G.N.Fleming, Phys.Rev. **137B**, 188 (1965); **139B**, 963 (1965).

[14] S.Gartenhaus and C.Schwartz, Phys.Rev. **108**, 842 (1957).

[15] R.G.Littlejohn and M.Reinsch, Rev.Mod.Phys. **69**, 213 (1997).

[16] D.Alba, L.Lusanna and M.Pauri, “Dynamical Body Frames, Orientation-Shape Variables and Canonical Spin Bases for the Non-Relativistic N-Body Problem”, Firenze Univ. Preprint 2000 (hep-th/0011014).

[17] W.G.Dixon, J.Math.Phys. **8**, 1591 (1967).

[18] W.G.Dixon, Proc.Roy.Soc.London **A314**, 499 (1970) and **A319**, 509 (1970); Gen.Rel.Grav. **4**, 199 (1973); Phil. Trans.Roy.Soc.London **A277**, 59 (1974); “Extended Bodies in General Relativity: Their Description and Motion”, in “Isolated Systems in General Relativity”, ed.J.Ehlers (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1979).

[19] W.Beiglböck, Commun.Math.Phys. **5**, 106 (1967).

[20] I.Bailey and W.Israel, Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) **130**, 188 (1980).

[21] J.Ehlers and E.Rudolph, Gen.Rel.Grav. **8**, 197 (1977).

[22] G.Longhi and L.Lusanna, Phys.Rev. D34, 3707 (1986).

[23] J.M.Pons and L.Shepley, Class.Quant.Grav. **12**, 1771 (1995) (gr-qc/9508052). J.M.Pons, D.C.Salisbury and L.Shepley, Phys.Rev. **D55**, 658 (1997) (gr-qc/9612037).

[24] M.Pauri and G.M.Prosperi, J.Math.Phys. **16**, 1503 (1975).

[25] F.A.E.Pirani, Acta Phys. Polon. **15**, 389 (1956). S.Ragusa and L.Bailyn, Gen.Rel.Grav. **27**, 163 (1995). I.B.Khriplovich and A.A.Pomeranski, Phys.Lett. **A216**, 7 (1996).

[26] W.Tulczyjew, Acta Phys.Polon. **18**, 393 (1959).

[27] W.G.Dixon, Nuovo Cimento **34**, 317 (1964).

[28] L.Lusanna and M.Materassi, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A15**, 2821 (2000) (hep-th/9904202).

[29] G.Longhi and M.Materassi, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A14**, 3387 (1999) (hep-th/9809024); J.Math.Phys. **40**, 480 (1999)(hep-th/9803128).

[30] M.Mathisson, Acta Phys.Polon. **6**, 163 (1937).

[31] W.G.Dixon, Nuovo Cimento **38**, 1616 (1965).

[32] J.Lubanski, Acta Phys.Polon. **6**, 356 (1937).

[33] H.Hönl and A.Papapetrou, Z.Physik **112**, 512 (1939).

[34] H.Hönl and A.Papapetrou, Z.Physik **114**, 478 (1939).

[35] A.H.Taub, J.Math.Phys. **5**, 112 (1964).

[36] A.Bielecki, M.Mathisson and J.W.Weyssenhoff, Bull.Intern.Acad.Polon.Sci.Lett., Cl.Sci.Math.Nat. Se'r. A: Sci.Math. p.22 (1939).

[37] M.Mathisson, Proc.Cambridge Phil.Soc. **36**, 331 (1940).

[38] A.Papapetrou, Proc.Roy.Soc.(London) **A209**, 248 (1951).

[39] W.Urich and A.Papapetrou, Z.Naturforsch. **10a**, 109 (1955).

[40] B.Tulczyjew and W.Tulczyjew, in 'Recent Development in General Relativity' (Pergamon Press, New York, 1962), p.465.

[41] E.Corinaldesi and A.Papapetrou, Proc.Roy.Soc.London **209**, 259 (1951). B.M.Baker and R.F.O'Connell, Gen.Rel.Grav. **5**, 539 (1974).

[42] K.S.Thorne, "The Theory of Gravitational Radiation: an Introductory Review" in 'Gravitational Radiation' eds. N.Deruelle and T.Piran, 1982 NATO-ASI School at Les Houches (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1983); Rev.Mod.Phys. **52**, 299 (1980).

[43] J.M.Souriau, C.R.Acad.Sc.Paris **271**, 751, 1086 (1970); **274**, 1082 (1972). Ann.Inst.H.Poincaré **20**, 22 (1974).

[44] R.Schattner, Gen.Rel.Grav. **10**, 377 and 395 (1978). Ann.Inst.H.Poicaré **40**, 291 (1984). J.Madore, Ann.Inst.H.Poicaré **XI**, 221 (1969).

[45] R.Sachs, Proc.Roy.Soc.London **A264**, 309 (1961), especially Appendix B.

[46] F.A.E.Pirani, in 'Lectures on General Relativity', eds.A.Trautman, F.A.E.Pirani and H.Bondi (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1964).

[47] L.Blanchet and T.Damour, Phil.Trans.R.Soc.London **A320**, 379 (1986).

[48] K.Hinsen and B.U.Felderhof, J.Math.Phys. **33**, 3731 (1992).

[49] I.M.Gel'fand, R.A.Minlos and Z.Ya.Shapiro, "Representations of the Rotation and Lorentz Groups and Their Applications" (Pergamon, New York, 1963).

[50] J.A.R.Coope, R.F.Snider and F.R.McCourt, J.Chem.Phys. **43**, 2269 (1965); J.Math.Phys. **11**, 1003 (1970). J.A.R.Coope, J.Math.Phys. **11**, 1591 (1970).